Final Report

**April 2001** 

Prepared for:

Office of Passenger Transportation

Maine Department of Transportation



Prepared by:



In association with: **Buxton Communications Bicycle Federation of America** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	Page 1
PART I. ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF BICYCLE TOURISM	3
A. PROFILE OF MULTIPLE-DAY BICYCLE TOURISTS	4
B. PROFILE OF DAY CYCLISTS	8
C. ECONOMIC IMPACTS ESTIMATES OF BICYCLE TOURISM	9
D. FORECAST OF POTENTIAL BICYCLE TOURISM ECONOMIC IMPACTS	19
E. RELATIVE ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF BICYCLE FACILITIES, TOURS AND PROGRAMS	21
PART II. BICYCLE TOURISM MARKETING	31
A. OVERVIEW OF BICYCLE MARKETING	32
B. BICYCLE TOURIST MARKET CHARACTERISTICS	36
C. BICYCLE TOURISM MARKETING PLAN	42

## Appendix 1

USAGE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT FIGURES FOR OTHER FACILITIES/PROGRAMS/STATES

## LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
Table 1	Per Person Trip Expenditures by Length of Bike Tour	7
Table 2	Domestic Overnight Bicycle Tourists	12
Table 3	Domestic Day Bicyclists	13
Table 4	Domestic Overnight Bike Travelers: Direct Impacts	13
Table 5	Domestic Day Cyclists: Direct Impacts	15
Table 6	Canadian Overnight Travel Trips to Maine	17
Table 7	Canadian Day Travel Trips to Maine	18
Table 8	Domestic and Canadian Bicycle Tourism Impacts: 1999	19
Table 9	Hypothetical Future Economic Impacts: Bicycle Tourism	20
Table 10	Estimated Direct Impacts of Three Trails of Statewide	
	Significance	24
Table 11	Estimated Direct Impacts of Shared Use Paths of	
	Statewide Significance	25
Table 12	MDOT Bicycle Tour Summary	27
Table 13	Estimated Direct Impacts of 21 Bicycle Tours by Region	28
Table 14	Interim East Coast Greenway Routes	29
Table 15	Estimated Direct Impacts of Interim East Coast Greenway	30
Table 16	Proposed Two Year Budget for Bicycle Tourism	
	Marketing	59

## LIST OF FIGURES

		<u> Page</u>
Figure 1	Preferred Bike Length Tour: Experienced Cyclists	5
Figure 2	Daily Biking Mileage Preferences vs. Tour Make-up	5
Figure 3	Willingness to Travel to Start Bike Tour	6
Figure 4	Spending Distribution by Domestic Overnight Bicycle	
	Tourists	14
Figure 5	Spending Distribution by Domestic Day Trip Bicycle	
	Tourists	15
Figure 6	Tourism Regions and Resident - Visitor Base Ratings	22
Figure 7	Shared Use Paths of Statewide Significance	23
Figure 8	Bicyclist Facility Preferences and Group Make-up:	
	Experienced Cyclists	36
Figure 9	Facility Preferences by Tour Length	37
Figure 10	Lodging Preferences by Group Make-up	38

## Introduction

The Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT) has contracted with Wilbur Smith Associates (WSA) to estimate the total economic impact of bicycle tourism (Part I) and to develop marketing recommendations to increase bicycle tourism in the State (Part II). WSA has been assisted in this project by Buxton Communications and the Bicycle Federation of America (BFA).

Wilbur Smith Associates is a national transportation engineering and planning firm that has Maine staff with experience in bicycle planning and company expertise in the economic analysis of transportation facilities and programs. Buxton Communications is a Portland, Maine, marketing communications firm that has extensive experience in marketing transportation programs and agencies. The BFA is the major national bicycling advocacy and research organization in the United States. In addition to his staff position with the BFA, Bruce Burgess (the principal contributor to this project from the BFA) has owned and operated a bicycle touring company for over 15 years. He has also authored several publications related to bicycle tourism in the northeastern United States.

#### WHY STUDY BICYCLE TOURISM?

Tourism and Travel in Maine

Bicycle tourism includes the activities such as lodging, restaurants and bicycle rentals that cater to the bicycle tourist. Tourism in Maine contributed over \$ 5.4 billion in direct spending to the Maine economy in 1999 according to the Longwoods Survey of domestic and Canadian travel in Maine. This includes over 43 million domestic traveler trips and over 5 million trips by Canadians (Longwoods International, 2000). Tourism is the second largest industry in Maine behind the forest products industry (personal communication, Maine Office of Tourism). The tourism industry is of great value to the State of Maine because it is a clean industry providing jobs to local people and buying goods and services in support of local economies.

In 1997, the MDOT completed the Maine Strategic Passenger Transportation plan that seeks to increase the amount of tourism travel in Maine by means other than the private automobile, including passenger air, rail and ferry, intercity bus, local public transit, bicycling and walking. A major theme of the plan is that travel itself can and should be part of the overall vacation experience. Bicycling is an important component of the Plan as a means for low-impact travel within the State and also offers one of the best ways to experience what Maine has to offer. Many of Maine's most popular destinations are hampered by traffic congestion, particularly the Route 1/I-95 coastal corridor up to and including Acadia National Park. At Acadia, aggressive efforts are underway to reduce the impacts of the automobile on the park and its air quality.

The Longwoods Survey found that bicycling was an activity for 5 percent of those that took an overnight pleasure trip to Maine. Those taking a day trip to Maine reported

bicycling activity accounting for two percent of all day trips (Longwoods International, 2000). Bicycling is an important sector of the existing tourism market in Maine. It is a primary activity for a significant number of tourists and complements other activities and attractions by a very large number of tourists.

The bicycle tourism market has had little formal support from the Maine DOT or other state agencies. Since the federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) passed in 1991, Maine has had an active program of supporting bicycling infrastructure improvements such as adding paved shoulders to roadways and developing shared use paths to increase the use of bicycling for transportation and spur increased bicycle tourism. Other places have had great success in this correlation like those in Quebec Province in Canada and Wisconsin.

Quebec is implementing an aggressive program of facility development, *La Route Verte*, to create a network of over 2,165 miles of interconnected shared use paths and bicycle friendly roads. The projected total economic impact of this system is over \$30.7 million (US) per year ("Long Distance Bike Routes: La Route Verte Economic Spinoffs", Velo Quebec Newsletter, June 1998).

Wisconsin has had an active program of developing shared use pathways for over twenty years. They have moved from developing individual path projects of moderate length to developing and interconnected system paths as their transportation, recreation and economic benefits to communities are becoming better known. The Elroy-Sparta Trail, originally a 32 mile path in Monroe County, Wisconsin, documented over 50,000 annual users in 1988 that spent over \$1.2 million in the local economy. The path attracted users from hundreds of miles away as well as local users (*A Look at Visitors on Wisconsin's Elroy-Sparta Bike Trail*, 1988). Other significant trails that have documented their impacts are the 14.5 mile Red Cedar Trail (40,000 annual users and \$2.0 million in user expenditures in 1995) (*A Profile of Red Cedar State Trail Annual Pass Holders*, 1996) and the 23.5 mile Sugar River Trail (47,550 users and \$430,000 in user expenditures in 1985) (as reported in *Rail to Trail Development in Chippewa County (WI): Economic and Recreational Aspects*, 1996). The local communities are actively looking to extend their trails to link multiple communities in a network of paths.

The Federal Highway Administration has established the goal of doubling the amount of bicycling and walking transportation trips in the United States while reducing the number of nonmotorized users killed or injured in traffic crashes. The presence and accommodation of bicycle tourists is anticipated to increase awareness of the need for improved bicycling and walking facilities in many Maine communities and spur localities to do more for safer bicycling and walking.

The MDOT views its participation in promoting bicycle touring as chiefly providing information related to the suitability of various roads in the state for bicycling. This is intended to enhance bicycling as a transportation activity within a recreational context. This is similar to its promotion of the Scenic Byways Program. In the past several years the Maine DOT has begun additional efforts to raise the profile of bicycling in Maine. In

1999 the MDOT published 21 bicycle loop tours on its website. These tours are distributed throughout the state and are targeted to cyclists of intermdiate to advanced ability. In the Summer 2000, the agency published a state bicycle map with an initial printing of 60,000.

Within State government, the Maine Office of Tourism, within the Department of Economic and Community Development, has the responsibility for promoting Maine's tourism industry through numerous media, including print, television and the internet.

## PART I. ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF BICYCLE TOURISM

The Goals for Part I, from the Request for Proposals issued for the study, are twofold:

Goal 1. Perform economic research to determine the economic importance of bicycle tourism in Maine including direct, indirect, induced and total economic effect on service sector businesses and the community.

Goal 2. Forecast the market potential, facility potential and the economic impact of expanding bicycle tourism facilities in Maine including the direct, indirect, induced and total economic effects on service sector businesses and the community.

Goal 1 seeks to answer what are the present economic impacts while goal 2 seeks to forecast impacts assuming a set of tours and supporting systems are in place. This information is sought to assist facility planners (MDOT staff, trail organizations) and decision-makers (Legislators, e.g.) in applying for funds and evaluating those requests. It is also anticipated that the private sector will increase its attention to bicycle tourism.

#### Methodology

Data for the economic impact analysis come from numerous sources.

- Data regarding demographics of and expenditures by bicycle tourists comes from a literature review of studies of shared use pathway usage and surveys of bicyclists from other similar regions.
- Data on the estimates of domestic bicycle tourists (including Maine residents and non-residents) come primarily from the annual Longwoods International Travel Survey completed for the Maine Office of Tourism for 1999. Data from Canadian tourists to Maine come from a similar survey of Canadian residents for 1999, also by Longwoods International.
- Estimates of the number of persons participating in guided bicycle tours is from a survey of bicycle tour operators in the northeast by Buxton Communications for this study.

#### A. PROFILE OF MULTIPLE-DAY BICYCLE TOURISTS

Characteristics of multiple day bicycle tourists generally vary by the type of tour and length of tour. The two types of tour are self-guided and guided tours.

*Self-guided tours* are where the cyclist or cyclists do not enlist the help of a bicycle touring company during the tour itself. They may use a tour planning service or company to plan their itinerary including routes and/or accommodations.

A *guided tour* is provided by a bicycle touring company that typically provides transportation, pre-planned route, tour leaders, equipment, and a sag van to provide assistance to riders en route and ferry luggage and gear.

Two surveys have been used to construct a profile of potential bicycle tourists. These are:


- Adirondack North Country Association's (ANCA) 1994 survey of 77 bicyclists that have a preference for guided bicycle tours
- Richelieu Valley Tourism Committee's 1994 (Haut Richelieu) survey of 440 households of "experienced cycling enthusiasts" (*Lake Champlain Bikeways: What It Can Do for Your Community and Business*, Burgess, 1995).

## **Preferred Bicycle Tour Length and Trip Characteristics**

The two surveys reveal that experienced touring cyclists prefer multiple day trips (Figure 1). Close to 50 percent preferred tours of 4 to 7 days in length; 37 percent preferred 2 to 3 days, and 35 percent preferred more than a 7 day tour (Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994). In the survey they also stated that for tours 3 days and under they preferred self-guided tours (59 percent) to guided tours (20 percent).

Respondents in the ANCA Survey revealed that their previous guided bicycle tours have lasted: 2-3 days, 17 percent; 4-5 days, 14 percent; and more than 5 days, 41 percent.


Figure 1 **Preferred Bike Length Tour: Experienced Cyclists** 



Source: Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994.

The cyclists surveyed in the Haut Richelieu survey stated that their daily touring distance during a trip is related to the make-up of their touring group. This distribution is shown in Figure 2. Experienced cyclists traveling alone, with one other person and with 'friends' preferred covering longer distances per day – over 40 miles per day. When cycling 'with family' they preferred shorter distances; 77 percent preferred distances under 40 miles per day (Haut Richelieu Survey, 1999). These preferences, in concert with the preferences for the facility types, need to be considered when targeting marketing efforts to specific bicycle tourist segments. ANCA survey respondents listed

Figure 2 **Daily Biking Mileage Preferences vs. Tour Make-up** 



Source: Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994.

their daily preferred riding range of 3 to 100 miles with the average being 39 miles and median response being 35 miles.

Cyclists embarking on a multi-day tour were willing to travel farther the more days in the bike tour; those on shorter tours were willing to travel shorter distances. These results are shown in Figure 3. For a single day trip, 79 percent of respondents were willing to travel less than 100 miles to the start of the tour -- just over 40 percent were willing to travel under 50 miles. For tours 2 to 3 days in length, almost half of respondents were willing to drive between 100 to 300 miles (48 percent) with another 37 percent willing to drive 50 to 100 miles. For tours of 4 to 7 days in length, 51 percent of respondents were willing to drive over 300 miles. For tours over 7 days, over 80 percent were willing to travel over 300 miles (Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994).

These travel distances need to be matched to the types of tours offered by a region. For instance, a two day tour in Aroostook County would have much less attraction for those traveling from Massachusetts than the same length tour in York County. Boston to Presque Isle travel distance is approximately 375 miles while it is approximately 55 miles to Southern York County (WSA).

Figure 3

Willingness to Travel to Start Bike Tour

100%
80%
60%
40%
1 day 2 or 3 days 4 to 7 days Over 7 days
Source: Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994.

## **Spending on Bike Tours**

Total spending per bicyclist on a bike tour as reported by Haut Richelieu survey respondents is shown in Figure 4. These figures include spending for transportation, lodging, food, fees, rentals and personal expenses. For one day tours, most respondents (68 percent) were willing to spend from \$10 to \$50. For a tour of 2 to 3 days, over half (52 percent) of respondents were willing to spend over \$100. For longer tours of 4 to 7 days 64 percent of respondents would spend over \$300, with 17 percent willing to spend over \$600. For trips over 7 days, 62 percent of respondents would spend over \$600 per person, including 26percent who would spend over \$900 (Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994).

Table 1 **Per Person Trip Expenditures by Length of Bike Tour** 

	Length of Tour				
Per Person Trip		2 or 3	4 to 7	Over 7	
Expenditures	1 Day Tour	Day Tour	Day Tour	Day Tour	
Less than \$10	11%				
\$10 to \$50	68%				
Over \$50	21%				
Less than \$50		5%			
\$50 to \$100		40%			
\$100 to \$300		46%			
Over \$300		9%			
Less than \$100			5%		
\$100 to \$300			32%		
\$300 to \$600			46%		
Over \$600			18%		
Less than \$300				8%	
\$300 to \$600				29%	
\$600 to \$900			_	36%	
Over \$900				26%	

Numbers may not total 100% due to rounding.

Source: Haut Richelieu Survey, 1994, as reported in Burgess, 1995.

Burgess reports that average spending of those on self-guided and guided bike tours in Vermont is \$115 per person per day (Lake Champlain Bikeways, 1995).

## **Distribution of Bike Tourists Spending by Industry**

Most studies report their findings using different groupings of industries or sectors so cross-comparisons are difficult. Broad patterns are as follows:

- The ANCA study reports a distribution of spending on bike tours as follows: 33 percent, lodging; 38 percent, restaurant, bar and groceries; and 29 percent, personal expenditures including bicycle repair.
- La Route Verte Economic Impact Study projects a distribution of 57 percent, lodging and food; 18 percent, transportation; 13 percent, entertainment and services; and 12 percent, for other products and services (for an evolving 3,400 km trail system in Quebec).
- Overmountain Victory National Historic Trail study documented trip expenditures of 25 percent, lodging; 28 percent, restaurants, food and beverages; 21 percent, transportation; 25 percent, retail and other expenses for a total average expenditure of \$49 per person per day for a multi-state trail in the south.

#### **Household Income**

Surveys of bicycle tourists consistently report that bicycle tourists come from higher income households.

- The ANCA survey reported that the median annual household income was \$60,000 \$69,000 in 1993.
- The Haut Richelieu survey reported that 45 percent of respondents had a household income over \$60,000 in 1994.

#### **B.** Profile of Day Cyclists

Two types of day trip cyclists have been identified through the Longwoods International survey data: 1) those that cycle as part of a one day trip in Maine and 2) those that cycle for a part of their multiple day trip to Maine. It is estimated that in 1999, just over 580,000 persons bicycled in Maine for at least part of a day as part of a multiple day trip in Maine. In the same year, approximately 1.4 million persons are estimated to have bicycled during a day trip in Maine (see analysis of Longwoods International survey in Part C below) (Wilbur Smith Associates). From the Longwoods data, domestic travelers making day trips to Maine were more likely to travel to the southern coastal or greater Portland/Casco Bay Region (Longwoods International, 2000). This intuitively makes sense -- those traveling to Maine from other states for a day want a smaller part of their trip devoted to travel time. This is the part of Maine that is the most accessible to the larger population centers in the northeast.

A profile of day cyclists, gleaned from the literature survey, reveals the following:

- For a one day bicycling trip, just over 40 percent would drive less than 50 miles to the start of the tour and another approximately 40 percent would drive between 50 and 100 miles to its start (Haut Richelieu, 1994).
- Per person spending for the day for most would total \$50 or less (Haut Richelieu, 1994).
- Thirty-seven percent of ANCA survey respondents stated that they preferred halfday or less rides; 25 percent preferred all-day rides (while 38 percent preferred multiple day rides).
- Spending by 'day trippers' (non-local trail users) is projected in *La Route Verte* study to be distributed as Food, 47 percent, Transportation, 28 percent; Retail and services, 25 percent. Spending by local/resident users of the trail is projected to be distributed as Food, 66 percent; Retail and services, 34 percent.

## C. ECONOMIC IMPACTS ESTIMATES OF BICYCLE TOURISM

#### **OVERVIEW**

The primary goal for this part of the study is to estimate the economic impacts of bicycle tourism in Maine. The following definitions are used in this analysis.

<u>Direct Impacts</u>: The actual direct expenditures by bicycle tourists attributable to the

activity of bicycle tourism. This includes their direct spending on food, lodging, transportation and other services and fees.

+ Direct Impacts

Multiplier

<u>Multiplier Impacts</u>: The sum of indirect impacts (associated with supplying the industries that serve the visitors) and the induced impacts

Total Impacts

(associated with the re-spending of earnings by people who provide goods & services to visitors).

<u>Total Impacts</u>: The sum of direct and multiplier impacts attributable to bicycle tourism.

## **Direct Impacts**

The calculation of direct impacts relies on estimates of the number of bicycle tourists and estimates of their spending. Direct impacts were calculated for the following sectors: Retail and services, Restaurant and groceries, Lodging, and Transportation. Distributions for spending by the different categories of bicycle tourists were developed based on a review of the literature.

Direct impacts were calculated for several segments of the bicycle tourism market including:

- Overnight Bicycle Trips --Self-guided Bicycle Tours Guided Bicycle Tours
- Day Trip Bicycle Trips --Partial Day Trips of Overnight Visitors Resident Day Trippers
   Non-resident Day Trippers

Estimates of the number of bicycle tourists come primarily from the annual Longwoods International Travel Survey completed for the Maine Office of Tourism for 1999. Estimates of the number of persons participating in guided bicycle tours are from a survey of bicycle tour operators in the northeast by Buxton Communications for this study.

Estimates for the number of and economic impacts of bicycle tourists from Canada were also developed based on a Longwoods International Survey in 1999.

#### **Multiplier Impacts**

As defined above, the multiplier impacts are the combination of indirect and induced economic impacts associated with bicycle tourism.

A "multiplier" is used to trace money as it flows through the regional economy. The longer that the money stays in the region, the better off the region is and the higher the multiplier. The multiplier indicates that, as the money is used over and over again, many people and businesses benefit, even if they do not use or directly serve the bicycle tourism related market.


For example, if a Bed & Breakfast owner earns \$100, and uses it to buy \$100 worth of groceries, she is better off by \$100 because she has \$100 worth of groceries, and the local grocer is better off because he has the \$100. The grocer then pays his employees, the delivery truck operator, etc. all of whom then are slightly better off due to the bicycle tourist's stay at the B&B. The multiplier traces this flow of funds until the money ultimately leaks to places outside the impact area, or reaches the source of its raw material. Therefore, the larger and more self-sufficient the impact area, the longer the money remains in the regional economy, resulting in a higher average multiplier.

<u>Multiplier Model</u> - To estimate the multipliers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Input-Output Modeling System (RIMS-II) is used. To use the model, the final demand expenditures (i.e., direct impact and indirect impact values) are categorized into industrial codes. The multiplier calculation process uses the full 38-row by 490-column industries matrix of the U.S. Department of Commerce RIMS-II model, in computerized format. The total output multipliers include household earnings in each row industry group. Final demand expenditure or sales data was entered into the model under a variety of different classifications, depending on the nature of the final demand activities. These bicycle tourism related expenditure types and their respective RIMS-II classifications are presented in Appendix 2.

The size of the multipliers vary from place to place, depending on a location's size (population) and economic base. Typically, the larger the region, the greater the multipliers.

<u>Three Measures of "Economic Impact"</u> - The RIMS-II model provides three measures of the total economic impact attributable to bicycle tourism: Economic Activity (Output), Earnings (Payroll), and Jobs. The total impacts include the tourism sector spending itself (i.e. the "direct impacts") as well as the "multiplier effect". The impacts are estimated for 1999 (the year of the Longwoods tourism survey). These impact measures are described below:

Economic Activity - The value of the bicycle tourism final demand (direct), plus the "multiplier" effect (the sum of all of the indirect goods and services needed to produce the tourism final demand, plus the induced impacts of increased household consumption). Total economic activity equals the sum of intermediate demands, consumption demand, government demand, investment demand, and net export demand.



- Earnings The sum of the wages and salaries to all employed persons that the bicycle tourism industry pays, directly or indirectly, to deliver the output of final tourism demand. Earnings Impacts are always included in the Economic Activity totals, so they should not be summed with the Economic Activity impact. Earnings are a very conservative proxy for "value added." Earnings may be greater or less than the Direct values depending on the industry type.
- <u>Jobs</u> The number of employees who are employed in the bicycle tourism-related industry, plus the bike tourism-oriented share of those that are employed in sectors that support the tourist (B&B's, restaurants, etc.) plus those employed in the industries included in the multiplier effect impacts. The number of jobs attributable to an industry is always greater than simply those in the industry itself, due to the "re-spending" of money.

Impact Measures and Types - These three impact "measures" and the two impact "types" explained earlier are inter-related. The economic activity, earnings and job impact measures comprise Direct and Multiplier impact types. The interaction of the three impact measures and the two impact types are diagrammed above.

#### ESTIMATES OF ECONOMIC IMPACT: DOMESTIC TOURISTS

#### **Bicycle Tourist Estimates**

The estimates of bicycle tourists are derived from the Longwoods International survey of domestic tourism in Maine in 1999. The survey estimates that over 43 million tourist trips were made in Maine that year. These include trips made by Maine residents and non-residents. Seventy-eight percent were classified as Day trips; twenty-two percent as Overnight Trips.

For our analysis, Overnight 'Marketable Pleasure Trips' were one focus of study (4.3 million trips). The Longwoods Study also has categories for 'Business Trips' and 'Visit Friends and Relatives' as trip types as well. While persons on these types of trips could engage in bicycle tourism activities, the survey data supported analysis using the marketable pleasure trip category – more likely bicycle tourists.

In the Longwoods survey, the key figures cited were that 5 percent of Overnight Marketable Pleasure Trips had a bicycling component and that 2 percent of all Day Trips had a bicycling component. Overnight trips averaged 2.7 persons per trip; day trips averaged 2.0 persons per trip. Using these figures, it is estimated that 583,740 overnight travelers bicycled (Table 2) and that almost 1.4 million day trip travelers (Table 3) bicycled in 1999 in Maine (Longwoods International, 2000).

Table 2 **Domestic Overnight Bicycle Tourists** 

9,400,000
<u>46%</u>
4,324,000
5%
216,200
2.7
583,740

Source: Longwoods International, 2000; WSA.

Table 3 **Domestic Day Bicycle Tourists** 

Total Trips	34,300,000
Percent Bicycling Trips	<u>2%</u>
Bicycling Trips	686,000
Travelers	
Travelers Average Persons per Trip	2.0
	2.0

Source: Longwoods International, 2000; WSA.

Overnight Trip Bicyclists. No additional specific information was available from the Longwoods survey regarding the percentage of overnight visitors that bicycled for only a portion of their trip versus those that took a multiple day bicycle trip. It was estimated by WSA that approximately 95 percent of the over 580,000 overnight visitors that bicycled did so only for one day or less during their trip and so were in fact 'partial day cyclists' for the purposes of this study. The remaining approximately 5 percent of multiple day trip cyclists are estimated to total 31,290. This number is comprised of those that took 'self-guided' bicycle tours (29,190) and those that took part in 'guided tours' (2,100 – estimated from our survey of bike tour operators). See Table 4.

Table 4 **Domestic Overnight Bike Travelers: Direct Impacts** 

	Self-Guided Tour	Guided-Tour	Totals
Bike Travelers			
Travelers That Bike	29,190	2,100	31,290
Estimated Days per Bike Traveler	<u>3</u>	4	
Total Biker Days	87,570	8,400	95,970
Bike Traveler Expenditures			
Total Biker Days	87,570	8,400	95,970
Average Daily Expenditure	\$ 55.00	\$ 115.00	
Total Biker Expenditures	\$ 4,816,350	\$ 966,000	\$ 5,782,350


Source: Buxton Communications; WSA.

These figures are translated into expenditures by multiplying them by daily spending estimates. Based on the literature review, daily spending estimates of \$55 per day for self-guided bicycle tourists and \$115 per day for guided tour cyclists were used. The figures are lower for self-guided tourists due to their higher use of campgrounds than those on a guided tour and less support services. A guided tour generally also provides

additional services such as transportation and a van to ferry luggage and provide support for repairs. See Appendix 1 for comparisons with findings from other similar studies.

Total expenditures are estimated to be over \$5.78 million in 1999. The distribution of spending by industry is shown in Figure 4 below.

Figure 4 **Spending Distribution by Domestic Overnight Bicycle Tourists** 



Source: WSA.

<u>Domestic Day Trip Cyclists.</u> The cyclists that made day trips to Maine (1.4 million in 1999) far outnumbered the overnight trip cyclists. From the survey, 45 percent of day trips were made by Maine residents; fifty-five percent were from out-of-state (Longwoods International, 2000).


Including the over 550,000 overnight visitors that made a day cycling trip, there were close to 2 million total day bicycle tourists in 1999 (Wilbur Smith Associates). This is shown in Table 4. Direct expenditures by day cyclists are estimated to total \$27.5 million in 1999. The distribution of this spending is projected to be as shown in Figure 6 below.

Table 5 **Domestic Day Cyclists: Direct Impacts** 

		Day	Trips	
	Partial Day (Overnight Visitors)	Resident	Non-resident	Totals
Bike Travelers				
Travelers That Bike	554,550	617,400	754,600	1,926,550
Bike Traveler Expenditures				
Total Biker Days	554,550	617,400	754,600	1,926,550
Avg. Daily Expenditure	\$ 25.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 15.00	
Total Biker Expenditures	\$13,863,750	\$ 2,469,600	\$ 11,319,000	\$ 27,652,350

Source: WSA.

Figure 5 **Spending Distribution by Domestic Day Trip Bicycle Tourists** 



Source: WSA.

## **Multiplier Impacts**

Using the RIMS-II economic model inputs for the State of Maine and the estimated \$33.4 million in direct expenditures, the multiplier impacts (indirect and induced impacts) are estimated to be \$28.0 million dollars (a multiplier less than 1.0). See Appendix 2 for a listing of the RIM-II multipliers by industrial sector.

#### **Total Impacts: Domestic Bicycle Tourists**

As discussed above, these impacts can be expressed as three measures: Total Impacts (or Total Economic Activity), Earnings and Jobs.

- <u>Total Impacts.</u> The Total Impacts, or Total Economic Activity, generated by bicycle tourism in 1999 is the sum of Direct and Multiplier Impacts. These Total Impacts are estimated to be \$61.3 million.
- <u>Earnings</u>. The earnings, or the sum of wages and salaries attributable to bicycle tourism, are estimated to be \$17.9 million in 1999.
- <u>Jobs.</u> The number of full-time equivalent jobs attributable to bicycle tourism is estimated to be 1,200 jobs. Based on the earnings figure, the average annual full-time equivalent salary is \$14,900. This figure appears reasonable given the service sector nature of most jobs related to the tourism industry.

#### ESTIMATES OF ECONOMIC IMPACT: CANADIAN TOURISTS

#### Direct and Total Impacts

Canadian tourists represent an important market for Maine tourism as well. Longwoods International also conducts surveys that document Canadian tourism travel to Maine. The survey for the 1999 tourism season estimates that over 5.3 million tourism trips were made, 11 percent of the total travel trips made to Maine that year.

Eight-five percent of these trips were Day Trips (4.5 million) and fifteen percent were 'Overnight Trips' (800,000). Of these Overnight Trips, over 608,000 were in the target market for bicycle tourism, Overnight Marketable Trips. Similar to domestic tourists, it was assumed that 5 percent of overnight tourists that bicycled, bicycled on a multiple day bike tour. These assumptions yield an estimate of just under 2,900 Canadian self-guided bicycle tourists. Their direct expenditures were estimated to be approximately \$475,000. Ninety five percent of overnight tourists that bicycled were estimated to have made a day bicycle trip, yielding an estimate of 54,872 Canadian day cyclists. Their direct expenditures were estimated to be \$1.3 million (Table 6).

Approximately 70 percent of all day trips were Marketable Pleasure Day Trips. Of these, 60 percent occurred in the April to October season, totaling a base of 1.8 million day trips

by Canadians. Assuming the same 2 percent day cyclist rate as domestic travelers yields an estimate of just under 70,000 Canadian day cyclists. Their direct expenditures were estimated to be just over \$1 million. (Table 7).

Using the similar bicycling rates as domestic tourists to Maine, (5 percent of overnight marketable trips and 2 percent of base day trips), it is estimated that approximately 127,500 Canadian travelers biked in Maine in 1999. This represents an additional estimated Direct Impact of \$ 2.9 million. Using the same RIMS-II multiplier as for domestic travelers results in a Total Impact of \$ 5.3 million (Table 8).

Table 6 **Canadian Overnight Travel Trips to Maine** 

	Overnight Trips
Total Trips to Maine by Canadians	5,300,000
Percent Overnight Trips	15%
Total Overnight Trips	800,000
Percent Overnight Marketable Trips	78%
Overnight Marketable Trips	608,000
Travelers	
Average People per Trip	1.90
Total Marketable Travelers	1,155,200
Percent That Bike	5.0%
Travelers That Bike	57,760
Overnight Bike Travelers 5%	2,888
Partial Day Bike Travelers 95%	54,872
Multiple Day Trip Expenditures	
Multiple Day Bike Travelers	2,888
Average Days Per Trip	3.0
Traveler Nights	8,664
Expenditures Per Day	\$55.00
Subtotal - Overnight Bike Trips	\$ 476,520
Partial Day Trip Expenditures	
Day Bike Travelers	54,872
Expenditures Per Day	\$25.00
Subtotal - Partial Day Trip	\$ 1,371,800
Total Direct Impact	\$ 1,848,320

Source: Longwoods International, 2000; WSA 2000.

Table 7 **Canadian Day Travel Trips to Maine** 

	Day Trips
Total Trips to Maine by Canadians	5,300,000
Percent Day Trips	85%
Total Day Trips	4,500,000
Percent Marketable Day Trips	69%
Total Marketable Day Trips	3,060,000
Percent In Biking Season	60%
Estimated In-season Day Trips	1,836,000
Travelers	
Average People per Trip	1.90
Total Marketable Travelers – In-season	3,488,400
Percent That Bike	2.0%
Estimated Travelers That Bike	69,768
Expenditures	
Expenditure Per Day	\$ 15.00
Total	\$ 1,046,520

Source: Longwoods International, 2000; WSA 2000.

#### COMBINED ESTIMATED DOMESTIC AND CANADIAN TOURISM IMPACTS

Table 8 shows the estimated combined economic impacts from the analysis of domestic and Canadian bicycle tourists to Maine in 1999. The analysis yields an estimated \$36.3 million in direct spending impacts. Eighty-three percent of this total is derived from Day Trip cyclists and 17 percent from Overnight Trip cyclists. Total impacts, which include direct impacts and multiplier impacts, are estimated to total \$66.8 million.

Table 8 **Domestic and Canadian Bicycle Tourism Impacts: 1999** 

	Overnight Bike	Day Bike	
	Trips	Trips	Total
Direct Impacts:	\$ 5,782,350	\$ 27,652,350	\$33,434,700
Domestic			
Direct Impacts:	\$ 476,520	\$ 2,418,320	\$ 2,894,840
Canadian			
<b>Total Direct</b>	\$ 6,258,870	\$30,070,670	\$36,329,540
Impacts			
Estimated Maine			0.84
Multiplier			
Total Impacts:			\$61,519,850
Domestic			
Total Impacts:			\$ 5,326,500
Canadian			
<b>Estimated Total</b>			\$ 66,846,350
Impacts			

Source: WSA; US Department of Commerce, RIMS-II, WSA.

#### D. FORECAST OF POTENTIAL BICYCLE TOURISM ECONOMIC IMPACTS

In looking at the future of the bicycle touring market, a hypothetical model was developed, making assumptions regarding the potential effectiveness of the marketing, infrastructure and other programs. These efforts would be undertaken by the MDOT and its public and private partners in promoting bicycle tourism.

To illustrate the potential increases in economic impact we have estimated that the rate of day trip cycling increases at a conservative annual rate of 2 percent but that the amount of multiple day bicycle tours doubles by 2005. The 2 percent annual growth for day cyclists is a conservative natural increase in the overall tourism market in Maine. It is likely that increased marketing could increase the share of travelers to Maine that bicycle but was kept constant to be conservative. The doubling overnight or multiple day bicycle trips by 2005 (from 1999 levels) was judged reasonable based on an increased commitment of funds for marketing, more developed bicycling infrastructure and an increased awareness by the private sector of bicycle tourism opportunities. In comparison, the Longwoods Survey for 1999 travel in Maine indicates a 9 percent increase in overnight marketable trips in 1999 over 1998 and a 5 percent increase in day trips over the same period.

Table 9 shows that, based on these assumptions, a 13 percent increase in the total number of bicycle tourists by 2005 would result. The increases would yield an increase in

economic impact of 28 percent due to the higher spending per day and trip for overnight touring bicyclists. The forecasted net increase in direct expenditures (in constant 1999 dollars) is over \$10.0 million per year. Approximately 63 percent, or an additional \$6.3 million, would come from the increases in multiple day bike trips.

By comparison, in 1991 it was estimated Vermont had 10,500 bicyclists who took guided bicycle tours and 16,250 bicyclists who took self-guided bicycle tours (Burgess, 1995). Maine is estimated to have a much less developed guided tour market (currently 20 percent of Vermont's 1991 amount). These cyclists have the highest daily expenditures.

Table 9 **Hypothetical Future Economic Impacts: Bicycle Tourism** 

	<b>1999</b>		Assumed	2005	
	Number	Direct \$ Impact	Annual Growth	Number	Direct \$ Impact
Day Cyclists					
Local	617,400	\$ 2,469,600	2%	695,295	\$ 2,781,200
Day Trippers	824,368	\$ 12,365,520	2%	928,375	\$ 13,925,580
Partial Day	609,422	\$ 15,235,550	2%	686,310	\$ 17,157,750
	2,069,190	\$ 30,070,670		2,309,980	\$ 33,864,530
Overnight Cyclists					
Self-guided Tour	32,078	\$ 5,292,870	12.2%	64,155	\$ 10,585,740
Guided Tour	2,100	\$ 966,000	12.2%	4,200	\$ 1,932,000
	34,178	\$ 6,258,870		68,355	\$ 12,517,740
			Total		
		Direct	Growth		Direct
	Number	\$ Impact	(cyclists)	Number	\$ Impact
Total	2,103,368	\$ 36,329,540	+13%	2,378,335	\$ 46,382,270
			\$ Impact		
Net Gain		+\$10,052,730	+28%		

Constant 1999 dollars.

Source: WSA.

## E. RELATIVE ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF BICYCLE FACILITIES, TOURS AND PROGRAMS

Determining the *relative* economic impacts between proposed facilities and within regions in Maine, while difficult, is instructive in guiding implementation of bicycling programs. While an estimate is provided, the order of magnitude and the relative impacts between facilities are the focus of the analysis.

To develop the estimates, ratings were developed for each facility for factors that will influence use by major market segments (local users, day cyclists, multiple day touring cyclists), each with varying economic impact as described previously. The factors used are:

- Resident population base how many potential 'local' users of a trail or tour are there based on the year round population of an area?
- Visitor base how many existing visitors/travelers does a tourism region have to potentially attract to a facility or tour (used mainly as an indicator of 'day trip' potential)?
- Overnight potential how strong a draw would a trail or tour be for multiple day/overnight bicycle trips based on its location and length?


In this analysis, three proposed trails, the 21 MDOT Bike Tours and four individual segments of the East Coast Greenway route were assessed in these three categories. These were grouped by Tourism Region and usage estimates were then made for each facility.

The estimated total number of trail and tour users that are bicyclists was compared to trail usage numbers gathered for other multiple use trails in other regions of the country for a reasonableness check (Appendix 1). The breakdown between trail user types was used to compute estimated expenditures by users based on the spending profile used in the previous section. The usage estimates assume that the trails are 'in place' and operating for five years.

Figure 6 identifies each tourism region and its regional rating (1 - low to 3 - high) for resident base and visitor base. Overnight potential was rated individually by facility.

Two regions have ranges of values. This indicates that the resident population or the visitor base varies considerably within the region. For the Katahdin Moosehead Penquis region, its Resident Population base is rated '1, 3'. This region includes the Bangor area (a '3' from a resident population standpoint relative to the rest of Maine) as well as less populated areas (a '1' from a population standpoint). Likewise for the Downeast Acadia region, the Mount Desert Island/Acadia National Park area rates a '3' related to Visitor Base while much of the remainder of the region is much less visited, rating a '1' for existing visitors. The 'visitor base' ratings are interpreted from visitor data from the Longwoods International Survey for travel destinations in 1999.

Figure 6 **Tourism Regions and Resident - Visitor Base Ratings** 



Source: Maine Office of Tourism Longwoods International, 2000; WSA.

#### RELATIVE FACILITY AND TOUR IMPACTS

## **Shared Use Paths**

Three shared use paths of statewide significance have been identified by the Maine DOT in their 20-year transportation plan -- the Downeast Trail, Mountain Division and Eastern Trail. The location of these paths are shown in Figure 7.

Relative usage and economic impacts for these three paths are estimated in Table 10. These paths are currently in the feasibility and planning stages -- none of the three currently exist (a short section of the Eastern Trail is open for use).

For each of the three user groups (local, day trip, and overnight users), a base number of users was estimated for each rating point for the facilities, based on the literature review. For local users, 20,000 annual bicycle users per 'resident base' rating point were estimated; 10,000 users per 'visitor base' rating point For overnight users, 1,000 users were estimated for each 'overnight potential' rating

Penobscot
County
Hancock
County
Mountain Division
40 miles

York
Cumberland
County

Eastern Trail
55 miles

Source: WSA; OGIS data.

point. For example, the location of Downeast Trail prompts a ratings of '1' (20,000 users) related to resident/local users, '2' for day trip rating based on 'visitor base' rating (20,000 users) and '3' for overnight users (3,000 users). These figures were arrived at based on an order of magnitude estimate of total bicyclist users of the trail and a distribution of trail users between user groups.

The analysis suggests that each trail has the potential to attract a varied total and mix of users – local, day and overnight users. Local users are often repeat users and these figures represent estimated total facility use.

Table 10
Estimated Direct Impacts of Three Trails of Statewide Significance

Trail	Tourism Region	Length (miles)	Resident Population Base (1-3)	Visitor Base (1-3)	Overnight Potential (1-3)	Local Users	Day Trip Users	Overnigh t Users	Total Users	Average Nights (Multi-day cyclists)	Visitor Nights	Estimated Annual Bicyclist Impacts	Percent of Subtotal
Downeast Trail	Downeast Acadia	135	1	2	3	20,000	20,000	3,000	43,000	2	6,000	\$910,000	34%
Mountain Division	Maine Lakes & Mountains	40	2	2	1	40,000	20,000	1,000	61,000	1	1,000	\$715,000	27%
Eastern Trail	Southern Maine Coast	55	3	3	1.5	60,000	30,000	1,500	91,500	1	1,500	\$1,072,500	40%
	Three Trails	230				120,000	70,000	5,500	195,500		8,500	\$2,697,500	100%

Estimates are intended to provide relative impacts between trail facilities and order of magnitude for direct impacts.

Assumptions							
Users/Rating Point							
Local							
Users:	20,000						
Trail							
Day Trip							
Users:	10,000						
Trail							
Overnight							
Users:	1,000						
Trail							

Source: Wilbur Smith Associates.

Wilbur Smith Associates 24

These figures look at bicycling-related impacts only. Impacts from other trail users including equestrian, walk/hike/joggers and cross-country skiers are not included. In many studies of trails, bicyclists account for roughly half of all users. Studies of other trails show that there is a high repeat usage by local users and is reflected in the differences between estimates of local users and day and overnight users.

Table 11 **Shared Use Paths of Statewide Significance** 

Path	Tour Name	Primary Tourism Region	Starting/ Ending Point	Length (mi.)
A	Downeast Trail	Downeast Acadia	Brewer - Calais	135
В	Mountain Division Trail	Maine Lakes & Mountains	Windham - Fryeburg	40
С	Eastern Trail	Southern Maine Coast	Kittery - So. Portland	55

Additional information about these pathway projects can be found at www.state.me.us/mdot/opt/bike/projects.htm.

Daily expenditures are assumed to be the same as for the economic analysis presented previously: \$4.00 per resident day cyclist; \$25.00 per day non-resident cyclist; and \$55.00 per day for an overnight cyclist (self-guided tour).

Key findings from this analysis (Table 10) are as follows:

<u>Downeast Trail</u>. The Downeast Trail is the longest of the three planned trails at 135 miles. It is planned as a combination of off-road rail-with-trail and on-road trail, extending from Brewer to Calais, Maine. Much of its length will be in rural Hancock and Washington Counties. Based on its resident base rating of '1', day trip rating of '2' and overnight user rating of '3', 43,000 total annual bicycle users of the trail are estimated. It is estimated to have the fewest total users but a proportionately higher total impact due to its length and potential to draw higher numbers of overnight users. Bicyclist direct economic impact is estimated to be on the order of \$910,000 annually.

Mountain Division Trail. The Mountain Division Trail traverses 8 communities over its 40 miles from Windham to Fryeburg, Maine. It is planned to be a rail-with-trail, with the trail located alongside the rail line. Phase II of the project hopes to extend the trail from Windham into Portland. Based on its resident base rating of '2', day trip rating of '2' and overnight user rating of '1', 61,000 total annual bicycle users of the trail are estimated. Bicyclists using the Mountain Division Trail are estimated to have a direct impact on the order of \$ 715,000 annually.

<u>Eastern Trail.</u> The Eastern Trail spans 12 communities from Kittery to South Portland, connecting several town centers and urban centers over its 55 miles. It is planned to be a combination of off-road trail and on-road trail. The Eastern Trail is estimated to have the largest 'local' and 'day trip' usage based on its resident population and visitor base

ratings. Based on its resident base rating of '3', day trip rating of '3' and overnight user rating of '1.5', 91,500 total annual bicycle users of the trail are estimated. It is estimated to have a lower overnight usage and fewer visitor nights due to its shorter total length. Estimated direct impacts are anticipated to total on the order of approximately \$1,000,000 per year.

Again, the intent of this analysis is to assess the *relative potential impacts* of the trails and the mix of potential users. The important aspects of the analysis are:

- the potential higher number of local and day users for the Eastern Trail based on its high resident population and visitor bases;
- higher potential number of overnight users of the Downeast Trail due to its length and location; and
- mid-range number of all user types for the Mountain Division Trail due to its shorter length and resident and visitor bases.

Combined, the estimated direct expenditures of users of the three trails, following implementation and marketing, is approximately \$2.7 million per year (constant dollars). The Eastern Trail is estimated to generate 40 percent of these total expenditures. The Downeast Trail is estimated to generate just under 34 percent and the Mountain Division, 27 percent (Wilbur Smith Associates).

#### **Bicycle Tours**

In 1999, twenty-one bicycle tours were developed by the Maine Department of Transportation. These tours cover the entire state and range in length from 29 miles to 110 miles. The tours total approximately 1,100 miles. The tours are described in Table 12. Descriptions of the bicycle tours can be found on the MDOT website at www.state.me.us/mdot/biketours.htm. The tours were developed to introduce cyclists to a variety of bicycling experiences throughout Maine and spur increased bicycle tourism.

Similar to the analysis for the multi-use trails, the assessment of the bicycle tours is geared toward identifying *relative impacts by tourism region*. The relative impacts by tourism region are estimated in Table 13. These are developed using estimates of bicycle tour users similar to the trail user estimates. For local users, 750 users per rating point were estimated; 500 users per day cyclist (non-resident) rating point; and, 250 overnight users per rating point (Wilbur Smith Associates). These generated estimates of total users with the intent of estimating relative economic impacts by region.

The analysis estimates that the tours would have an approximate direct impact of \$782,000 per year. The impacts vary considerably by region based on the number of tours within each region and the length of the individual tours. The 'Mid-Coast', 'South Coast' (a combination of two tourism regions) and 'Maine Lakes and Mountains' have the highest number of tours and a high proportion of the estimated impacts(29 percent, 27 percent and 18 percent, respectively) (Wilbur Smith Associates). These estimates use the same per day by user type expenditures: 'local', 'day trip' and 'overnight' -- spending averages, \$4 per day, \$25 per day and \$55 per day, respectively.

## Table 12 **MDOT Bicycle Tour Summary**

Tour #	Tour Name	Primary Tourism Region	Starting/ Ending Point	Length (mi.)	
1	St. John Valley	Aroostook County	Caribou	91	
2	Potato Blossom Country	Aroostook County	Presque Isle	50	
3	Katahdin	Katahdin	Island Falls	60	
4	Heart of Penobscot County	Katahdin	Bangor	92	
5	Washington County - Downeast	Downeast Acadia	Machias	94	
6	Schoodic Peninsula	Downeast Acadia	West Gouldsboro	29	
7	Deer Isle-Stonington	Mid-Coast	Deer Isle	31	
8	Waldo County Ridge	Mid-Coast	Belfast	70	
9	Rockland - Port Clyde	Mid-Coast	Rockland	51	
10	Kennebec River Valley	Kennebec & Moose River Valleys	Skowhegan	68	
11	Waterville-China Lakes	Mid-Coast	Waterville	49	
12	Lake Auburn	Maine Lakes & Mountains	Auburn	35	
13	Franklin Heritage	Maine Lakes & Mountains	Farmington	110	
14	Evans Notch	Maine Lakes & Mountains	Bethel	73	
15	Grafton Notch	Maine Lakes & Mountains	Rumford	74	
16	Western Foothills	Maine Lakes & Mountains	Cornish	62	
17	Merrymeeting	Mid-Coast	Bath or Woolwich	60	
18	Freeport-Brunswick	Greater Portland/ Casco Bay	Freeport	35	
19	Portland-Lighthouse	Greater Portland/ Casco Bay	Portland	41	
20	Southern Coast	Southern Maine Coast	Wells	50	
21	Saco River	Southern Maine Coast	Old Orchard Beach	48	

Source: WSA

Table 13 **Estimated Direct Impacts of 21 Bicycle Tours by Region** 

Tourism Region	Number of Tours	Total Length miles	Local Users	Day Trip Users	Overnight Users	Total Users	Visitor Nights	Estimated Impacts	Percent of Subtotal
Aroostook County	2	141	1,500	1,000	500	3,000	563	\$59,400	8%
Katahdin	2	152	2,250	1,000	500	3,750	563	\$62,400	8%
Downeast Acadia	2	123	1,500	1,000	500	3,000	375	\$49,100	6%
Mid-Coast	5	261	6,750	5,000	2,500	14,250	1,625	\$228,900	29%
Kennebec & Moose River Valleys	1	68	1,500	500	250	2,250	188	\$27,600	4%
Maine Lakes & Mountains	5	354	5,250	2,500	1,250	9,000	1,188	\$142,600	18%
South Coast	4	174	9,000	6,000	3,000	18,000	750	\$212,300	27%
All Bike Tours	21	1,099	27,750	17,000	8,500	53,250	5,250	\$782,300	100%

Estimates are intended to provide relative impacts between tours by region and order of magnitude for direct impacts

Assumptions					
Users/Rating Point					
Local Users: Tours 750					
Day Trip Users: Tours	500				
Overnight Users: Tours	250				

Source: Wilbur Smith Associates.

Wilbur Smith Associates 28

## Interim East Coast Greenway Route

A third set of relative impacts were estimated: the interim on-road East Coast Greenway Route (Table 14). The East Coast Greenway is proposed as an interurban trail system that eventually is planned to extend from Key West, Florida to Calais, Maine for over 2,600 miles. The plan is for the Greenway to be composed of shared use paths for at least 80 percent of its length. In Maine, an on-road route has been designated for interim use until a more extensive network of paths can be integrated into the route. Due to the distances involved, it is likely to remain a largely on-road route for much of its extent for the foreseeable future.

Table 14
Interim East Coast Greenway Routes

Tour Name	Primary Tourism Region	Starting/ Ending Point	Approx Length (mi.)
Maine East Coast Greenway: Eastern Trail & Casco Bay Route	Southern Maine Coast & Greater Portland/Casco Bay	Kittery-Brunswick	115
East Coast Greenway: Coastal Route	Mid-Coast - Coastal	Brunswick -Ellsworth via Belfast	190
East Coast Greenway: River Route	Mid-Maine - Inland	Brunswick - Ellsworth via Bangor	175
East Coast Greenway: Downeast Trail	Downeast Acadia	Ellsworth - Calais	140

The number of users per rating point for the East Coast Greenway were estimated to be double those used in assessing the relative impacts of the bicycle tours for local and day trip users. The Greenway will enjoy national as well as local publicity and is expected to draw a larger user base. For local users, 1,500 users per rating point were estimated, 1,000 users per day trip rating point and 250 users per overnight rating point.

The estimated relative impacts are shown in Table 15. This shows an estimated direct economic impact of over \$530,000 per year. The table shows the estimated distribution of these direct impacts by major segment: 32 percent, Kittery-Brunswick; 30 percent, Brunswick-Ellsworth via Belfast; 13 percent, Brunswick-Ellsworth via Bangor; and, 25 percent, Ellsworth-Calais.

Appendix 1 contains a listing of other economic impact studies for similar facilities.

Table 15
Estimated Direct Impacts of Interim East Coast Greenway

			Resident	Visitor	Overnight		Day					Estimated	Percent
Interim On-road		Length	Population	Base	Potential	Local	Trip	Overnight	Total	Avg	Visitor	Bicyclist	of
Tour	Tourism Region	miles	Base (1-3)	<b>(1-3)</b>	(1-3)	Users	Users	Users	Users	Nights	Nights	Impacts	Subtotal
Greenway: Eastern	Southern Maine Coast & Greater Portland/Casco Bay	115	3	3	3	4,500	3,000	750	8,250	2	1,500	\$168,000	32%
East Coast Greenway: Coastal Route	Mid-Coast - Coastal	190	2	3	3	3,000	3,000	750	6,750	2	1,500	\$162,000	30%
East Coast Greenway: River Route	Mid-Maine - Inland	175	3	1	2	4,500	1,000	250	5,750	2	500	\$68,000	13%
East Coast Greenway: Downeast Route	Downeast Acadia	140	1	2	3	1,500	2,000	500	4,000	3	1,500	\$133,500	
					Total:	13,500	9,000	2,250	24,750		5,000	\$531,500	100%

Estimates are intended to provide relative impacts between East Coast Greenway sections and order of magnitude for direct impacts.

Assumptions					
1	Users/Ra	ting Point			
Local Users: ECG Tours		1,500			
Day Trip Users: ECG Tours		1,000			
Overnight Users: ECG Tours		250			

### PART II. BICYCLE TOURISM MARKETING

## **INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this portion of the study is to assist the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT), the Maine Office of Tourism and the Maine Tourism Association to channel resources and identify marketing program and facility development priorities. Resources may include staff time and a bicycle tourism-related marketing budget. Priorities may include which bicycle tours and Maine regions to emphasize to increase bicycle tourism.

The goals for these marketing recommendations, from the Request for Proposals issued for the study, relate to marketing to bicycle tourists and the bicycle tourism industry:

Goal 1. Perform market research to characterize and describe the bicycle tourism segment of the existing tourism industry in Maine, including information that describes the different categories of bicycle tourists, their preferences and needs.

Goal 2. Using the new information generated by the previous research, make recommendations on facility improvements, program strategy, promotional campaigns and advertising materials for the MDOT and its partners' bicycle programs.

The key to promoting Maine as a bicycle touring destination is recognizing that:

- there are different types of bicycle touring activities
- there are unique demographics associated with the participants of each activity type
- regional differences will support the various types of bicycle touring activities and market segments to a greater or lesser degree.

The challenge, therefore, is:

- to recommend the types of bicycle touring activities best suited for the individual regions of Maine
- to recommend a marketing plan and materials aimed at the market associated with each type of bicycle touring activity.

As a fundamental part of this study, this report aims to present the critical factors that the state as a whole, its regions and individual businesses can apply in a coordinated fashion to become a successful bicycle touring destination.

### A. OVERVIEW OF BICYCLE MARKETING

In developing a marketing plan to promote bicycle tourism, the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT) has some excellent examples to follow. During the past decade, several states have successfully marketed themselves as destinations for bicycle tourists. Notably, Vermont and Wisconsin have had success promoting their states as bicycle-friendly vacation destinations, and Maine could learn a great deal from them.

Like Maine, Vermont and Wisconsin have earned reputations as beautiful places largely unspoiled by development and sprawl. Vermont and Wisconsin also have a reputation for having good, bicycle-friendly roads with a minimum of traffic, a reputation that Maine does not share. Unfortunately for Maine, many of the locations to which cyclists might otherwise gravitate are currently perceived in negative terms. Our survey of bicycle tour companies and interviews with experienced cyclists and tourism professionals indicates that these areas are viewed as either plagued by heavy traffic (Bar Harbor / Acadia and the south and mid-coasts) or by poorly maintained roads (downeast, western and mountain regions).

Maine can overcome the reason for these negative perceptions by continuing its efforts to improve road conditions and directing bicycle tourists to facilities for off-road and trail cycling, as well as to regions where there is lower traffic. The goal of this marketing effort should be not to merely generate more business for the state by increasing bicycle tourism. The effort should also effectively "create" bicycle tourists and guide themto routes that will ensure a positive experience, promote good word-of-mouth referrals and spur return visits.

To its advantage, Maine has a resource that neither Vermont nor Wisconsin has – a 2,500-mile coastline – in addition to an interior landscape that is both varied and rich in the types of cultural, historical and natural attractions that casual and seasoned cyclists seek. Promoting the quintessential "Maine" experience should form the cornerstone of any bicycle tourism marketing effort undertaken by the state.

Another advantage for Maine is that much of it is within a day's drive from the major metropolitan areas of New York and Boston. The state is also in the process of improving its passenger transportation network with air, bus and train connections throughout the U.S. and to Europe. This will increase Maine's accessibility.

Finally, it should be recognized that any efforts the state of Maine makes to increase its share of the bicycle tourism market will be limited if it does not include partnerships with the private sector. In regions where bicycle tourism has flourished, the states' efforts have been greatly enhanced by commercial bicycle touring companies that are well established and that make use of sophisticated marketing techniques. For this report, we have looked at ways to enhance MDOT's investment by forming partnerships with other public agencies, non-profit organizations and corporate and commercial establishments – parties that all stand to benefit from the promotion of Maine as a cyclists' destination.

### How These Recommendations Were Developed

The recommendations listed below have been developed based on research and interviews from a variety of sources.

- A literature and internet review was conducted to determine the "best practices" in use by states, regions and bike/hiking trail initiatives throughout the country.
- A survey of professional bike tour operators was conducted to identify, among other things, perceptions of Maine as a cyclists' destination. Also investigated were barriers to, and opportunities for the successful promotion of cycling in Maine.
- Interviews with tourism and cycling professionals were conducted to identify opportunities for the promotion of cycling tourism on a statewide and region-by-region basis.

#### Audience

While it may seem simple, building awareness of Maine as a bicycle destination is a potentially complex task. It will require a carefully targeted marketing plan that reaches out to cyclists of varying skill levels (beginning, intermediate and experienced) and cycling preferences (on-road vs. off-road and trail, "serious-minded" long-distance touring cyclists vs. casual "day trippers" and families). MDOT's marketing plan also should dovetail with efforts by businesses, chambers of commerce and other regional economic development organizations, bicycle advocacy groups and state agencies to promote mountain biking and other forms of off-road cycling.

Brief descriptions of the target audiences for the recommendations in this report are as follows:

#### Tour Operators and Others in the Bicycle/Tourism Industry

While there is a limited number of touring companies offering tours in Maine, this is an influential group and an important core audience for any bicycle tourism promotional plan. There are also bicycle shops, outdoor outfitters and other recreation professionals that have a large influence in building Maine's cycling reputation.

## **Experienced multi-day touring cyclists**

Like tour operators, experienced touring cyclists will play an essential role in creating a positive "buzz" about cycling in Maine. These multi-day touring cyclists are also, for the most part, an affluent group that spends a significant amount on equipment and on their travel experience.

# Day trippers or "casual" cyclists

This sizable group represents, by far, the greatest potential for developing bicycle tourism in Maine, although it is in many ways a more difficult group to define and reach. Also, their decision to cycle is usually much more spontaneous than experienced cyclists.

There are several advantages to promoting Maine as a destination to all three of these target groups. First, there are inter-relationships between the three distinct groups. Tour operators and long-distance touring cyclists are typical "opinion leaders" and have the ability to influence a broad spectrum of the bicycle tourism market. Younger cyclists who tend to make up the off-road and mountain bike market will eventually grow older, start families and migrate, at least temporarily, to the casual cyclist group and often on to the touring group. Casual cyclists make up the largest portion of the market, and hold the greatest potential for increasing Maine's share of the bicycle tourism market. Finally, there are economies to be gained by reaching out to all three markets in the state's marketing materials – although care should be taken to create images and provide information tailored specifically to each group.

## **Marketing Goals & Objectives**

These recommendations are intended to guide the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT) and its public and private partners in the development of a targeted, two-year campaign to promote bicycle tourism. These partners include the Office of Tourism, the Maine Tourism Association, the Chambers of Commerce, business associations that will benefit from bicycle tourism (lodging, campgrounds, restaurants, e.g.) and the Bicycle Coalition of Maine. The goals of the recommended marketing campaign are to increase awareness of Maine as an ideal destination for casual and experienced bicyclists, encourage travelers to consider Maine a destination for car-free vacations and increase Maine's share of the bicycle tourism market.

The key marketing objectives of this campaign are as follows:

- Generate interest in the many cycling opportunities available in Maine (on-road, off-road/shared use paths, mountain and trail)
- Provide information about cycling resources that will help travelers plan their Maine vacations
- Promote cycling throughout the state, with an effort to direct cyclists to regions where hiking/biking trails, traffic and road conditions will create a positive cycling experience
- Stimulate private commitment to and investment in making Maine a bicycle tourism destination.

## **Marketing Messages**

In marketing the state as a bicycle destination, MDOT and its marketing partners should consistently emphasize Maine's chief natural and cultural assets as it promotes Maine as a destination for bicyclists.

- 1) Scenery. Maine has an abundance of outstanding natural scenery from seven major river systems and the northern reaches of the Appalachian Mountain range to the state's diverse and extensive coastline. All have a great deal of appeal for cyclists. Bicycle facilities (paths, paved shoulders and bicycle racks on buses) and information (maps, bicycle tours and bicycling resource directories) promoting Maine's natural resources should be developed.
- 2) Road, Off-Road and Trail Opportunities -- Variety of Cycling Experiences and Terrains. Because of the diversity of the cycling market, Maine needs to incorporate messages about locations and facilities for all types of cyclists: road routes or tours for touring cyclists; mountain bike and backwoods trails for mountain bikers; and multi-use trails for casual cyclists and day trippers. Materials should also include a variety of trip lengths and terrains from easy halfday rides to rigorous, multi-day tours.
- 3) Natural / Rural Maine. Bicyclists, both casual day trippers and experienced, multi-day touring cyclists, seek experiences that are different from their typical daily lives. Because a majority of Maine's potential market comes from more densely populated and developed regions, Maine should emphasize its natural / rural heritage.

For example, when reaching out to experienced multi-day touring cyclists who are willing to travel a greater distance for a positive cycling experience, Maine should actively promote multi-day bicycle tours in areas such as Aroostook and Washington counties. Alternatively, with casual day-trippers, Maine should direct cyclists to what this group perceives to be safer rides, and consequently more positive experiences, by promoting bike trails and resources within a short travel distance of major tourist attractions, e.g., the Mountain Division trail to travelers visiting the beaches of south coast and the mountains of southwestern Maine or the Schoodic peninsula to Acadia National Park / Bar Harbor area visitors. Both of these examples promote areas where the natural and cultural experience is distinct from more congested and metropolitan regions where Maine traditionally has drawn the greatest numbers of new visitors.

#### B. BICYCLE TOURIST MARKET CHARACTERISTICS

Bicycle tourists vary in cycling skill, age and bike group composition. These differences strongly influence the preferences of cyclists and will be discussed below.

### 1. Bicycle Tourist Preferences


#### **Facility Type and Location Preferences**

Bicycle facility preferences, like daily bicycling distances relate to the experience and make-up of the bicycle touring group. Preferences for facility types were surveyed as part of the Haut Richelieu survey. Facilities specifically surveyed include shared use paths, paved shoulders/bike lanes, and shared lanes. Shared lanes are roadways where cyclists and motorists share the travel lane.

Experienced bicyclists traveling alone or with other adults generally prefer riding on roadways bike-friendly roadways than shared use paths. Cyclists traveling with family members, particularly those likely to include children, prefer greater separation from automobile traffic, using shared use paths or roadways with bicycle facilities (paved shoulder or bike lanes). A low percentage of cyclists traveling with family preferred shared lane bicycle facilities (Figure 8).

Figure 8

Bicycle Facility Preferences and Group Make-up:
Experienced Cylists



Source: Haut Richelieu, 1994.

A survey of 27 groups of bicyclists (composed of 82 cyclists) was conducted in September 2000 of users of the carriage roads at an entrance to Acadia National Park to gain additional insight into bicycle facility preferences for touring. Respondents were asked to rate their interest "in a multiple day bicycling trip with maps and packaged

information including cultural/historic points of interest and lodging/camping" using a variety of bicycle facility types and tour distances. Tour lengths and facilities included tours loops using "bicycle friendly roads" (road 'loop'), "shared use paths" (linear "path") and combinations of the two facility types (linear "road-path" combination). Responses are shown in Figure 9.

The highest interest (answers with '4' and '5') were for the 50 mile loop and the 50 mile path, each totaling approximately 60 percent. The least preference (answers with '1' and '2') was for the 200 mile loop and the 100 mile loop.

**Facility Preferences by Tour Length** 100% 80% ■5-high **4** 60% **3 2** 40% ■ 1-low 20% 0% 50 mile 100 mile 200 mile 50 mile 100 mile 100 mile loop loop loop path path road-path

Figure 9

Source: WSA, 2000.

Respondents were also asked about the length a shared use path would need to be "to be a major factor in selecting the location of a future Maine vacation"?

- Trail not important to vacation location, 29 percent
- 10 miles, 26 percent
- 20 miles, 15 percent
- 40 miles, 26 percent
- 60+ miles, 4 percent (Wilbur Smith Associates).

Almost all respondents were from out of state -- vacationing in Maine and had traveled over 250 miles to reach Acadia. These results conform with the literature review regarding the ability of shared use paths to attract people, notably families with children, from long distances. In the late 1980's, a survey of users of the Elroy-Sparta Trail in western Wisconsin showed that the then14 mile trail attracted a high proportion of its users from the Chicago metropolitan area for overnight visits. The trail was a primary reason for their choice of vacation location. Similar findings were discovered for users of the Red Cedar Trail, also located in Wisconsin (Wisconsin Extension Service, 1988 and 1995).

# **Lodging Preferences**

Experienced bicycle tourists, according to the Haut Richelieu survey, prefer a wide variety of lodging choices. Preferences again varied depending on group make-up but Beds and Breakfasts and Inns were strongly preferred to other types of lodging. When traveling with families (and presumably children), motels rated higher than for other group compositions.

100% 80% ☐ Hotel/Resort 60% Motel ■ B&B/Inn 40% Campground 20% 0% With family Group of Alone 1 other friends person

Figure 10 **Lodging Preferences by Group Make-up** 

Source: Haut Richelieu, 1994.

## 2. Bicycle Tour Operators and Participants: Preferences

### Background

A survey of bicycle tour operators was conducted to help determine the current economic impact of organized bicycle tours in Maine, as well as better to understand the strengths and weaknesses of Maine as a bicycle tourism destination.

Surveys were mailed and e-mailed to 42 tour operators. Eleven companies responded for a 26 percent response rate. The respondents represented a wide range of businesses, though all were located in the east of North America, ranging form New York to Quebec. The responding companies all have significant experience in the business. Fifty percent (50 percent) of the respondents have been in business for 6 to 10 years, 33 percent for more than 11 years. Those that have been in business for 11+ years offer the highest number of tours per year, with one company offering 100 tours per year and another offering 240 tours. Younger companies tend to offer two to 25 tours per year. Sixty-seven percent (67 percent) of the tours offered by the respondents have fewer than 20

participants, 33 percent have over 100 participants. The largest number of participants per tour was for those tour companies that offer tours less often (2-3 times per year).

# Participant Profile

The majority of the participants ranged in age from 31 to 60 years, coming mainly from the New York metro area, with New England and the Mid-Atlantic making up the majority of the remaining tour participants. There were more participants from Europe, the West Coast and the Mid West than there were from Canada, despite that country's proximity. One Canadian tour company mentioned the exchange rate as a factor that made tours prohibitively expensive in the United States. Most participants were not expert cyclists (33 percent Beginner, 45 percent Intermediate), and only one company indicated that the majority of their clients were expert cyclists (80 percent for this one tour company).

## Tour Profile

Analysis of the survey results offer the following findings, representing survey respondents only.

- 83 percent of the tours offered lasted a week or less, 17 percent lasted two to three weeks.
- The average tour cost per day was \$115.00, though the prices ranged from \$45.00 to \$215.00 per day.
- On average, each participant spent about \$29.00 additional per day.
- 66 percent of the respondents have offered tours in Maine.
  - o From the survey responses, the majority of these tours were conducted in the Acadia National Park/Bar Harbor/Downeast region of the state. The mid-coast region, Rangeley and the mountains were also popular, and a limited number of tours had been offered in Southern Maine and in the Bethel/Sunday River area. The survey respondents did not offer tours in the Moosehead, Aroostook County and mid-Maine areas. This could be due to the high interest in ocean views, which these inland regions do not provide.

#### Choosing a Tour Location

When deciding where to conduct a tour the tour operators indicated that **suitability of roads** and the **scenery** were the most important factors. **Accommodations** (including proximity to the route), **terrain** and **accessibility** were also important factors for them. Distance to location, driving time from city, level of participant ability, historical and cultural attractions, opportunities for entertainment and other forms of recreation were of moderate importance for the tour operators.

### Off-Road Cycling / Shared Use Paths

When asked if they would consider integrating a long- distance trail such as a rail/trail segment into future tours, 81 percent of the respondents answered yes. Sixty four percent also said that a long distance path would enable them to market their services to new audiences.

Interest was expressed in the safety and ease of such a trail and facility. One respondent, a youth bicycle camp, said that a long-range rail/trail and facility would be helpful, as they are "safer than most roads. Parents are more comfortable sending their kids on a bike tour knowing they are on paths rather than busy roads." Another said, "Family tours are popular and a long-range facility [such as a shared use path] would help."

## **Authentic New England**

When asked to rate Maine on a scale of 1 to 10 (10 being excellent) as a location for bicycle touring, nine of 11 respondents answered. Maine received a rating of **8.6**. Positive factors leading to this rank included the **scenery**, **food** and **people** of Maine. One respondent appreciated that Maine is "still 'authentic' and very 'New England.'"

Negative factors included the level of **traffic** (felt to be too high) and the **condition of roads/shoulders**. The "unavoidable" traffic on Route One was cited as the only drawback for one respondent. Another respondent later mentioned the high cost of flying into Maine and suggested expanding air travel choices.

Respondents were then asked to rate on a scale of 1 (poor) to 4 (excellent) how well Maine met a list of factors that would make a destination attractive to bicycle tourists. Highest ranked were the **scenery** and **water views** that Maine has to offer. Lowest ranked were urban experience and bike friendly roads. **Friendliness** of residents, **small town life** and **vegetation** were also ranked as strong factors in Maine.

#### How Maine Ranks As a Cycling Destination

When compared to other New England states, **Vermont** and **New Hampshire** ranked highest (24 pts each of 66 possible). Maine ranked third (21), and Massachusetts was fourth (19). Connecticut (15) and Rhode Island (13) scored lowest among tour operators.

#### 'Inform the public!'

When asked what Maine could do to encourage more bicycle tourism in Maine, respondents most often mentioned the need to **improve roads**. Lack of information was also cited as a problem, with suggestions that Maine create "one main source of information on cycling in Maine" as well as "well-documented trails/maps," better marketing of trails in the state and more information on B&Bs and other support industries. One respondent suggested, "Run a press trip covering a bicycle vacation,

distribute magazines.... simply put out bike racks in towns." Such actions would communicate an image of Maine as a knowledgeable and friendly state ready to support visiting bicycle tour companies.

When asked if they would find a monthly or quarterly email cyclists' update from Maine useful 100 percent of the respondents who answered the question said yes. One respondent was already receiving updates from the Bicycle Coalition of Maine and found it to be very useful.

"We did not know that this existed. Is it advertised in the Maine Vacation Guide?" When asked if they had visited the MDOT website for cyclists, none of the respondents knew that it existed.

## Where They Turn for Information

**Magazines** read and advertised in by respondents include: Adventure Cycling (or Cycling Adventure), Bicycle Magazine, Outside, Metro Sports (N.Y.), National Geographic Adventure, New Yorker, NY Cycle Club Newsletter, The Ride, Rochester Cycling Club Newsletter, Travel America, Vélo Québec.

Websites mentioned: www.bicycletourpassion.com and www.okfreewheelers.com

#### 'Let the Roads deteriorate even further'

When asked what Maine could do to discourage bicycle tourism, the above was a common answer, further demonstrating the bad reputation Maine's roads have. Also mentioned was mass tourism. "We appeal to clients looking for natural beauty, historical inns, etc. Any mass tourism, outlet malls, bus tours and LARGE hotels can have a negative impact." Maine does a good job of balancing the shopping tourists with eco tourists, emphasizing the natural treasures of Maine. This message needs to be communicated to tour companies, reassuring them that while Maine is a natural jewel, it also has available high quality, convenient amenities for their clients.

## C. BICYCLE TOURISM MARKETING PLAN

# 1. Bicycle Map Distribution

The Maine Department of Transportation published the Maine Bike Map in 2000 which provides bicyclists valuable information about on-road cycling in Maine. The information contained on the map is comprehensive and well-planned and will be particularly useful as the state cultivates the bicycle touring market. The launch of the map, featuring the Governor, was a success with broad news coverage within the state. Nearly 50,000 of the maps have been distributed in the first year.

## The map currently includes:

- Traffic volumes and availability of paved shoulders
- Location of State and National Parks, public lands and historic sites
- 21 bike tours
- State ferry routes
- Insets for urban areas.

# The reverse of the map includes:

- Welcome from the Governor
- 'Rules of the Road' for the safe operation of a bicycle
- Connections to other modes of transportation including ferry, air, intercity bus, fixed route bus service and park and ride lots
- Bicycling related laws in Maine
- Lodging opportunities
- Summaries of the 21 bike tours
- List of cycling resources
- Trip planning tips.

As distribution of the map expands and subsequent printings are undertaken, it will be essential to integrate the map into a coordinated effort to promote bicycle tourism -- in essence making the map one part of the larger, targeted promotional campaign. This means using graphics and images that are consistent with other materials. For the next printing, the map should reinforce and emphasize Maine's natural beauty and the unique opportunities for outdoor recreation and other activities using graphics and images similar to those found in other state tourism materials.

From our survey of other states' maps and tourism materials, we make the following recommendations to be considered for this and future map revisions.

Print 100,000 maps for distribution over the next two years.



- Print using a paper that folds well, doesn't crack at the folds and withstands rain (evaluate the current map against these criteria). If possible print using recycled paper and soy-based inks to reflect the environmentally-conscious sensibility of the typical bicycle tourist.
- Enhance the overall graphics and layout of the map. This includes:
  - ✓ Use professional photography and display photos more prominently particularly on cover
  - ✓ Include images of Maine's small town life and natural beauty
  - ✓ Develop more consistent and higher quality illustration style to make overall map layout more attractive, simpler and easier to read.
- Encourage interested cyclists to request more information.
- Include web site address and toll-free information number prominently on the map. Both should be incorporated into the "logo" and appear in several locations, i.e., front cover, back cover, main map panel, as well as in the section titled "Web Resources," in the case of the web site.
- Expand in-state distribution through the following channels:
  - ✓ Continue to use the Maine Tourism Association's and state chambers' distribution networks to place maps (and other bicycle tourism promotional materials) in tourist information centers and chamber information centers.
  - ✓ Continue to distribute map to all bicycle shops and recreational outfitters in Maine (with assistance from the Bicycle Coalition of Maine).
- Create awareness of map outside of Maine by the following actions:
  - ✓ Issue press releases about map to outdoor press
  - ✓ Target bicycle and outdoor publications, newspaper travel sections and television and radio shows with travel and outdoor themes
  - ✓ Target states where Maine traditionally draws a greater proportion of overnight visitors (Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania)
  - ✓ Post map on MDOT web site as a downloadable file
  - ✓ Work with Maine Office of Tourism and Maine Tourism Association to promote map on visitmaine.com site and create links with chamber web pages
  - ✓ Advertise in "Maine Invites You" and refer readers to web site and toll-free number

- ✓ Develop a direct response poster and / or mailing to bike shops and outfitters outside of Maine. Be sure to keep poster size to 8.5" x 11" or smaller, because of limited display space within these stores
- Produce an on-line version of the bike map that can be viewed and downloaded and promote it through the appropriate marketing channels (PR, state tourism information materials, web links). To the extent possible the on-line version should be packaged based on the Maine tourism regions as used by the Maine Office of Tourism. Each region would be scanned and posted in an individual file for easy printing and reference. Maps should overlap with adjoining regions to ensure continuity of coverage between regions.

In addition, the MDOT should re-evaluate its role as the sole producer of these maps. While it would be wise for the agency to continue providing technical assistance for mapping and route development, there may be advantages to working with another state agency (e.g., Maine Office of Tourism, Maine Department of Conservation) or organization (e.g., Maine Tourism Association or Bicycle Coalition of Maine) to produce regular updates of the map.

Consideration should also be given to providing, free of charge, all relevant map data to cartographic companies in the private sector so they can produce their own version of the map for sale. This will likely result in many more editions of a bicycle map at different price points being made available to the general public at little or no cost to the State of Maine. Eventually, MDOT may want to follow the example of the Lake Champlain Bikeways steering committee which offered technical support and route information to private sector companies free of charge. Two companies ended up publishing the information, and the outcome of this process resulted in multiple map products at different price points. Copyright issues should be explored in relation to data ownership.

The MDOT should also consider partnering with a state agency to produce an "outdoor adventure" map that combines bike information with information about other recreational activities (mountain biking, multi-use ATV/off-road trails, state parks, etc.) and avoid duplication of effort and realize cost savings for the state. By working with a non-profit organization, MDOT and its partner may be able to offset a portion of the production costs with the sale of advertising or solicitation of corporate sponsorships.

### 2. Bicycle Loop Tour Marketing

In 1999, MDOT developed 21 bike tours in Maine. Currently these tours are available from the department's Bicycle and Pedestrian web site in Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) and in printed form requested through the MDOT. Once published and publicized, these routes will be a particularly valuable marketing tool for reaching two of the targeted audiences – experienced, multi-day touring cyclists for the longer tours and day trippers or "casual" cyclists, who need the reassurance and guidance that loop tours will provide. The tours range in mileage from 29 miles to 110 miles, providing a range

of experiences for cyclists of moderate to experienced abilities and in most areas of the state.

The loop tours, it also should be recognized, have considerable potential as economic development tools that, if distributed and well publicized, could benefit businesses such as bicycle dealers, bicycle rental agencies, restaurants, food/grocery stores and lodging providers. The tours can be packaged and publicized by targeted regions within Maine, e.g., western and central Maine and Aroostook and Washington counties.

Initially MDOT should select between six and 12 tours to print and publicize during the first two years. The initial tours selected should meet, to the extent possible, the criteria listed below:


- Provide the most favorable experience for cyclists (e.g., wide shoulders and moderate or low traffic volumes)
- Begin in locations that can easily be reached via commercial and public transportation as well as private vehicle
- Direct touring cyclists to loops where the scenery is pleasant and services are available to ensure success and where the economy would benefit the most from increased bicycle tourism.

Quantities will vary for the printing of each of these informational pamphlets, depending on the region, anticipated demand and distribution (between approximately 20,000 and 50,000 total for two years). Regarding specific recommendations about design, content, printing and distribution, MDOT should follow the recommendations provided for the Maine Bicycle Map provided above. Additional recommendations include:

- ✓ Produce and emphasize an attractive, and on-line/downloadable version of bike tours and promote them through the appropriate marketing channels (public relations, state tourism information materials, web links)
- ✓ Distribute to tourists through the Bicycle Coalition of Maine, visitor information centers and chambers of commerce
- ✓ Create awareness of tours in key regions in the Northeast with press releases and direct response poster
- ✓ Promote tours in 'Maine Invites You' ad
- ✓ Consider partnering with other state agency(s) or non-profit organization to produce maps and consider providing information to private sector as a potential longer term strategy to produce and distribute tour maps and information.

## Implement 'Loop and Link' Strategy

To increase the effectiveness of the individual bicycle loop tours, the MDOT should identify routes that provide links between the starting point of tours, where possible. This would provide guidance to cyclists who want to extend or diversify their cycle tour experience. The East Coast



Greenway route, discussed below, would be one natural way to functionally link the bike tours along its route. This connectivity should be promoted within each loop tour's description.


## Thematic Tour Brochures

In addition, we recommend that MDOT encourage production of thematic tour brochures by local and regional entities and/or the private sector. These would be targeted to the more casual cycling tourists for day or more extended tours. Similar in concept to the Maine Art Museum Trail or the Franklin Heritage Loop promotions recently developed in Maine, these brochures may thematically connect a selection of the shorter loop tours and encourage cyclists to experience more of Maine by riding two or more of the routes.

In addition to providing cycling routes, this brochure should also provide a comprehensive listing of cultural, historical and natural attractions, including state parks

and hiking / walking trails, all resources that would also appeal to this market. Next year, the Bicycle Coalition of Maine will be working with the Department of Conservation to develop a "Hiker / Biker" policy for state campgrounds. A joint effort to produce a hiker / biker link and loop brochure may be both timely and cost-effective.

Just as the Franklin Heritage Loop and Maine Art Museum Trail brochures were produced with sponsorship funds, we believe there is excellent sponsorship potential for these brochures for production by the private sector for sale. Other options include inviting lodging providers to package a tour linking their facilities. For instance, Farm B&B's currently produce a brochure that describe their location and area attractions. Lodging providers along a tour could repackage the tour to attract/target specific interests.



## 3. Bicycle Tourism - 'Explore Maine' Joint Marketing

The 'Explore Maine' project by the Office of Passenger Transportation seeks to implement a coordinated program of passenger transportation services throughout Maine. These services encompass passenger rail, excursion rail, passenger ferry, passenger air,

local bus transit, intercity bus, motorcoach tours, bicycling and shared use paths. The goal is to allow visitors to Maine and its residents to travel on a seamless network without the automobile. Bicycling is an important aspect of this program as both a mode of transportation and as one of the mix of activities that is critical to attract visitors to Maine.

One part of the Strategic Passenger Plan program was the concept of tour packaging. Depending on the target market for a tour package, bicycling can be either a central or complementary part of a tour.

#### Recommendations include:

- Ensure bicycle accommodations and bicycle friendly policies on trains (fares and carry-on or convenient storage not requiring disassembly or crating), buses (bike racks) and ferries (bike friendly fares and policies)
- Identify bicycle access routes to and from Amtrak and other passenger rail stations
- Assess and coordinate transit connections with bicycling touring opportunities
- Bike racks and/or lockers at intermodal stations
- Make bicycling prominent in Explore Maine promotions/public relations programs & literature
- Identify and promote bike and bus combination tour possibilities.

The Office of Passenger Transportation is currently developing a statewide bus transit strategic plan. This plan will include a statewide transit system assessment. The material developed for the plan should be used to assess the adequacy of transit services to support bicycle tourism. For instance, persons on a self-guided, multiple day bike tour on the Downeast Trail would be potential users of a bus service to return them to their starting point. Locations where local bus service intersects with tours and trails should be identified. A daily service of this type is currently offered by West Transportation ('West's Coast Connection') along the proposed Downeast Trail corridor.

## 4. East Coast Greenway Joint Marketing

The East Coast Greenway Alliance is developing a system of interconnected trails from Key West, Florida to Calais, Maine. Their goal is "to connect existing and planned trails that are locally owned and managed to form a continuous, safe, green route -- easily identified by the public through signage, maps, users guides, and common services". Facilities are planned to be 80 percent shared use paths.


In Maine, the Greenway extends from Kittery, Maine to Calais, Maine. Until more pathways can be developed, an interim, primarily on-road bicycle route has been developed for the entire corridor. In the section from Brunswick to Ellsworth, two distinct routes – the inland or River Route, and the Coastal Route – have been developed.

Bicycle tour descriptions for the ECG route similar to the MDOT's other 21 bicycle tours are being developed. These tours will be segmented into four distinct two to four day tours.

The Alliance has developed map and information standards for producing maps of the Greenway. Maps produced for these tours should meet these standards. Route signage design standards should be coordinated with the Alliance and implemented in conjunction with the interim routes and the Mountain Division Trail, Eastern Trail and Downeast Trail. The latter two trails will be part of the East Coast Greenway when developed.

Other recommendations related to the ECG include:

- Continue to provide technical support for development of maps and brochures for the ECG
- Coordinate promotions / announcements for events such as the placement of signs, release of maps and the opening of trail segments
- Include appropriate material in fulfillment packages regarding the ECG in requests for information in areas where the



Sample map for a portion of the ECG in Maryland. Source: ECG website, 2000.

ECG is located

- Incorporate ECG information & maps into bike promotions by Chambers of Commerce, the Maine Office of Tourism and other parties
- Promote the use of the ECG to link and extend coastal and inland bike tours that intersect with the ECG route
- Develop signage standards for the ECG, other bicycle tours and for shared use paths in Maine..

### 5. Bicycle Tourism Information Dissemination

Getting the word out about bicycling in Maine will require a coordinated marketing effort on the behalf of MDOT and its partners. The two-year plan described below focuses development of key partnerships and resources that will serve as the core of Maine's marketing efforts in the future. This effort should be re-evaluated at the end of two years and updated and expanded as new tours, trails and other programs and facilities are developed.

## Develop and publicize a more accessible and complete bike-tourism related website.

As more American homes go on line, the internet is quickly becoming one of the most effective and cost-efficient tools for promoting tourism. The current MDOT site has valuable information and compares moderately well with other states' bike pages.

The site's primary shortcomings are accessibility and lack of information on other resources or links to other resources. Our survey of tour operators and interviews with tourism professionals indicates that few people within these communities are aware of this resource. One barrier is the site's address (www.state.me.us/mdot/biketours.htm) which is long and difficult to remember.


Although the MDOT site does contain many useful elements, it needs to be expanded. It should also be noted that other related bike sites in Maine, including www.visitmaine.com (Office of Tourism) or www.bikemaine.org (Bicycle Coalition of Maine), provide a more comprehensive guide to the resources and information available to bicycle tourists in Maine.

Maine's bike tourism site should demonstrate that Maine possesses the common commercial support services generally sought by cyclists -- bike-friendly accommodations and campsites, bike racks on buses, restaurants, country stores, gift shops, transportation and travel agencies, publishers of bicycle tour maps and guidebooks, bicycle dealers, bicycle rental agencies and bicycle tour companies -- will be essential as Maine invests in increasing its share of the bicycle tourism market.

Integrated information about public resources such as state parks and historic sites must also be available.

To create a better, more accessible, comprehensive and effective website we recommend the following:

✓ Partner with the Bicycle Coalition of Maine (BCM) to develop a more effective and accessible web site. BCM has four chief assets that will be invaluable to MDOT for this task – their membership, knowledge of and commitment to bicycling in Maine, existing information database and their web address -- www.bikemaine.org.



Source: BCM Website.

- ✓ Continue to provide technical assistance in developing routes, mapping and other content
- ✓ Enhance current information with more information on cycling and trip resources (see "On-Line Guide," below)
- ✓ Develop more extensive links to other bike-related sites
- ✓ Develop a program for managing and tracking responses to the website e-mail and mail follow-up / fulfillment

# Continue to develop partnerships with Maine Office of Tourism and Maine Tourism Association

The main tourism website for Maine (www.visitmaine.com) and the state's tourism publications are two additional resources that have yet to be tapped. In Wisconsin and Vermont, as well as in Connecticut and New Hampshire to a lesser degree, state publications and websites promote cycling extensively. This only makes sense. Tourists today look for a combination of available active pursuits such as bicycling, mountain biking, hiking and boating to make their travel decisions. In other words, they like to go "where the action is". By depicting Maine as a good place to cycle, all organizations stand to benefit.

MDOT needs to further cultivate this relationship to:

- ✓ Increase site's emphasis on bicycling as a tourist activity (photos and web links)
- ✓ Increase emphasis on cycling in tourism advertising and publications (photos in ads and photos and a listing of bike resources web sites, phone numbers, trails and routes in publications, including "Maine Invites You")
- ✓ Develop write-ups of specific routes, perhaps in conjunction with the East Coast Greenway or the Mountain Division Rail-Trail and provide them to the state and the relevant chamber organizations. Massachusetts has done

this effectively in their 2000 tourism brochures, touting the Minuteman Bikeway and the Norwottuck Rail Trail.

Establish a method for tracking and utilizing leads from bike-related inquiries to a state toll-free line. In the past, Vermont has sold leads that come in to its toll-free information line to commercial bike touring organizations for a fee.

# Develop stronger relationships with regional chambers of commerce.

All of the chamber directors we spoke with were enthusiastic about working with MDOT to promote bicycle tourism in their areas. The major barriers for even the largest and well-funded organizations are time, money, resources and adequate knowledge of cycling routes and safety issues. All were interested in having materials (e.g., photographs – see below – brief write ups, lists of cycling resources, and perhaps safety information) provided by MDOT.

There was concern by chambers of commerce about providing safe routes for cyclists to use – particularly routes for less experienced and casual riders. Some were interested in MDOT perhaps developing a series of short one- and half-day rides similar in format to the 21 Bike Tours in Maine appropriate for casual and family riders in their areas.

Below are some specific recommendations for cultivating good relationships with Maine's chambers:

- ✓ Develop a presentation about the economic and environmental benefits of bicycle tourism for chambers and regional economic development agencies
- ✓ The Maine Association of Chamber Executives and several regional groups (e.g., the Southern Maine Coast Tourism Association) meet two or three times a year to discuss tourism issues and host special programs. It may be possible to get the MDOT to present a special program to these groups and to get feedback and enlist their support for marketing cycling in Maine.
- ✓ Establish web links with regional chambers of commerce who promote tourism on their own websites and work with them to promote cycling on their own websites and in their printed materials by providing text and photos.

It is very important that inclusion in bicycle-oriented literature and marketing should be equal for all players (including bicycle dealers, bicycle rental agencies and bicycles outfitters statewide) whether such organizations are chamber members or not.

# Develop a targeted public relations campaign

The use of strategic public and media relations will play a key role in any bicycle tourism marketing plan. Public relations can be a particularly cost-effective way to reach a niche market and create a positive "buzz". Funding and implementing a public relations campaign related to bicycle tourism is outside the scope of the MDOT but the MDOT can support the efforts of the Office of Tourism or a private entity such as the Maine Tourism Association.

In the case of marketing Maine as a cycling destination, there are two primary means to achieve this:

- 1. Creating awareness of cycling opportunities for day-trippers and casual cyclists (e.g., shorter half-day and one-day trips and shared use paths) through the general tourism press and among travel book writers.
- 2. Working with the bicycle and outdoor press to promote multi-day touring, mountain biking and backwoods trail riding.

A successful public relations campaign will likely include the following elements:

- ✓ Regular "feature" releases about cycling in Maine sent to major US and European dailies, selected regional weeklies, cycling and outdoor publications with an emphasis on sending releases six months prior to the prime cycling months from May through October
- ✓ A series of outdoor press tours invite top outdoor and cycling journalists (TV and print) to Maine for guided tour sampling the best of Maine cycling (could be a 4- to 7-day that gives writers a taste of cycling on the coast and inland). Several chambers of commerce we interviewed expressed interest in partnering for a promotion like this.

## Develop a stock library of professionally photographed bicycle images

This will be a particularly sound investment, in that the photos will be used several times over. They can be used in the future printings of the map and tours, in press packets, and they can be made available, free of charge and upon request, to journalists and travel book writers. These photos also can be distributed to the state tourism office and regional chambers of commerce to be used on web sites and in brochures.

Providing good, ready made photography will increase the likelihood that these organizations will give cycling in Maine good play. It will also allow the MDOT to emphasize safety by making sure all riders are wearing helmets properly, using good equipment and are operating their bicycles safely – underscoring important safety issues.

Regarding the development of stock photography, several options exist to do this economically. One is to establish a bargain with professional photographers to avoid professional fees. In exchange for unlimited, non-commercial use of photos taken by professional photographers, the state will provide a listing of participating photographers with all feature releases. Any photographer interested in such a relationship would be required to provide the State with a sheet of 20 slides free of charge. In return, the State would make the photographer's name and contact information in all releases so that editors interested in additional photos could purchase them from the photographer directly.

Guidelines for developing a stock photo library are included as an appendix to this report.

## Court bicycle tour operators and outdoor adventure outfitters

Professional bike tour operators are an influential group and Maine stands to benefit significantly from their choice of Maine as a touring destination. While the numbers of tourists they bring to the state are limited – an average of 10 and 35 cyclists per tour (although a few host tours with up to 200 participants) – this group has a high per tour expenditure on food, lodging and related travel items. Additionally, tour operators spend significant sums on advertising and promotion, so working to attract more multi—day guided tours to the state will in turn help market Maine to cyclists around the world.

This program would also be outside the scope of the MDOT for implementation but the MDOT can play a significant role in providing information and support.

Marketing efforts to court tour operators should have three central messages:

- 1) The availability of diverse touring opportunities in Maine (coastal, mountains and small town New England experiences);
- 2) The state's commitment to the bicycle touring industry, including Maine-based touring companies;
- 3) The availability of supporting services and facilities throughout the state when these become available.

This audience is small – there are fewer than 500 companies offering guided bicycle tours in the country and approximately 20 companies that would be most likely to consider expanding their roster of tours in Maine. Therefore marketing to them will require more targeted public relations efforts rather than an expensive advertising campaign.

Following are some suggestions:

✓ Host a tour for tour operators. Invite them to Maine for a free four to seven-day sampler of the best of Maine. Give them great scenery, great biking and great

food and offer them technical assistance in planning effective routes for their customers. Timing of a junket like this will have to be carefully chosen – probably either late season or early spring – as the majority of their tours take place between June and October.

✓ Publish a monthly e-mail bulletin about biking in Maine. Be sure to include information about road improvements, services, tourism and bike-related news and seasonal events in targeted areas (e.g., Salmon Festival in Eastport, Potato Blossom Festival in Fort Fairfield), and other information that will offer ideas for planning trips and reinforce Maine's commitment to bicycle touring.

## Encourage more Maine businesses to become "bike friendly"

"Build it and they will come." The bicycle touring market is still very young in Maine, and as a result there is not a well-developed network of commercial businesses that cater to bike tourists. MDOT's marketing should include an effort to build that network of services by educating businesses – primarily inns, B&Bs and restaurants – to the economic potential of bike tourism and how they can encourage more bike-related business. As part of this effort, MDOT should:


- ✓ Develop a simple three-fold brochure with tips for making their businesses bike friendly, as well as information on how to promote their businesses to the bike tourism market.
- ✓ Develop a web version of this information for the bicycle website.

## Develop an on-line biking guide to Maine

Currently there is no single comprehensive source of information available for tourists regarding the resources they need to plan a bicycle-centered visit to Maine. Wisconsin and Pennsylvania both publish excellent biking guides that bring information from a variety of sources together in one location. We recommend that MDOT take the lead in producing such a guide as an on-line publication that will help promote consumer awareness of cycling and services available to cyclists visiting Maine.

This guide should be comprehensive and focus on resources available to all the target markets (professional tours, experienced multi-day touring cyclists, casual cyclists and off road and mountain cyclists). It should be updated annually to ensure accuracy and include comprehensive listings, brief descriptions and contact information for:

- shared use paths
- mountain bike trails
- bike clubs and rail-trail organizations
- state bike organizations
- bike rental / repair shops
- outdoor / recreational suppliers and tour operators / outfitters
- bicycle-friendly lodging options (inns, B&Bs, hostels, campgrounds and state and local parks)
- state and national parks, historic sites and other points of interest
- listing of festivals, fairs and other events that may be of cultural, historic or scenic interest to cyclists similar to the calendar produced by the Bike Coalition of Maine in 2000 (potato blossom season in Aroostook County, peak foliage dates by region, Salmon Festival, etc)
- transportation carriers (including those with bike carrying policies)
- regional chamber and tourism promotion organizations
- regional tourist information centers.



Pennsylvania's extensive printed guide to bicycling resources.

Once again, it would make sense for MDOT to partner with an outside organization (BCM or whichever organization assumes primary responsibility for the web site) to produce this cycling resource.

# Develop partnerships with chambers and regional economic development organizations

An enormous amount of tourism information is disseminated by regional business development organizations, both on the web and in printed materials. MDOT should forge strong relationships with Maine's chambers of commerce and regional economic development organizations to educate them to the potential of bicycle tourism and encourage them to include their regional bicycle resources (bike tour routes, trails, etc.) in their promotional materials. These efforts should include:

- ✓ Create a "bicycle tourism roadshow." The MDOT Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator should create a half hour presentation to update them on MDOT's efforts and the plan to present to regional chamber and business organizations
- ✓ Develop protocols for links with regional web sites. Once again, the web promises to be one of the most useful marketing tools for this market. There should be a

system for creating an updating links between the regional organizations' and the bicycle tourism web sites.

- ✓ Disseminate bicycle information through chamber information centers. Follow the lead established with the bicycle map and work with organizations to place bike information in the regional information centers.
- ✓ Encourage organizations to include bicycle information and photos in their regional publications. Offer them access to MDOT's stock photo library and provide information about cycling facilities and resources in their areas.

## Coordinate with promotions of mountain biking and trail riding

The Maine Department of Conservation recently published an ATV trail map. Coordinate with the MDOC to incorporate bicycling in future editions of this map. Also work with commercial mountain bike centers to include them as resources in the on-line biking guide, the bike map and other materials developed for the bicycle tourism market.

### 6. Bicycling Inquiry 'Fulfillment' Packaging

Currently there is no coordinated response for requests for bicycling information in the state. Each organization (MDOT, BCM, the Maine Tourism Association, as well as groups such as the East Coast Greenway Alliance) each receive requests for information and deal with responses in a variety of ways. The Maine Office of Tourism does not have the resources to give special handling to these requests. MDOT sends out a letter and map for all requests for cycling information that come to its web site or into the Office of Passenger Transportation. Separately the Bicycle Coalition of Maine handles a significant number of requests generated from its web site and other sources.

Once a more accessible web site is established and promoted, we can assume that the majority of bicycle-related inquiries will come in through this channel.

Several states and Canadian provinces were surveyed; responses varied. Some merely responded with a generic package of tourist information – with no bike-specific information. Still others included a minimum of bike information that had been incorporated into state tourism guides. A few provided a combination of general tourism information and bicycle-specific information. In one case, we received several follow-up mailings, all with subsequent information about seasonal activities, some of them bike-related.

The key to creating a successful fulfillment package will be to create a sense of excitement about the possibilities available to cyclists in Maine by providing attractive materials, at least some of them developed specifically for cyclists, and depicting cyclists actively enjoying themselves in Maine.

The fulfillment package should contain the following:

- Welcome letter
- Bicycle map
- Bike tour information specific to stated bicycling experience level and region(s)
  of interests (or incorporate listing of specific bike tours and web address into
  Welcome Letter or other insert to save printing costs associated with the tours)
- Bicycling safety information (may be incorporated into map or other brochures)
- Materials from bicycle and trail organizations (e.g., ECG, BCM)
- Postcard referencing bike website, state tourism website (for general information) and on-line biking guide

How MDOT administers these fulfillment packages is another question. Ideally, the state office of tourism would incorporate a bicycle fulfillment package into their marketing efforts, but at the time they do not have the resources to do this. Two alternatives would be for the MDOT's Office of Passenger Transportation to continue to send out information or for MDOT to partner with another organization (BCM or the Maine Tourism Association). Currently the level of inquiries may not be high enough to warrant contracting this function to another entity. As inquiries increase, it is recommended to contract with the BCM. The BCM is ideally suited to answer specific requests for bicycling related information in Maine.

## 7. Recommended Two-Year Budget (FY 2001/2002 and 2002/2003)

The budget for the recommended marketing program totals \$130,600 for fiscal year 2001/2002 and \$115,200 for fiscal year 2002/2003.

For the first year, primary costs are related to:

- Bicycle map upgrade and printing
- Map graphics and text for ten bike tours
- Link and loop brochures
- Website enhancement
- Lodging brochure
- Public relations campaign
- Theme tour brochures
- On-line bike guide
- Maine Today ad
- Stock photography
- Fulfillment package.

Second year cost elements are the same except for:

- No costs for printing of statewide bike map
- Printing of bike tour maps.

Costs are shown in Table 16. It is not anticipated that all funding would come from the MDOT or other public entities. Several items have a high potential for funding by private organizations, such as the individual bike tour maps. The MDOT could invest in

the content (text and graphics) and leave the final packaging of information to private organizations such as local or regional Chambers of Commerce or local entrepreneurs to fund privately.

# **Proposed Two-year Budget for Bicycle Tourism Marketing**

Marketing Program	First year			Secon	d Y	ear	Potential Funding Source
Bike Map							MDOT and its partner organization may be able to
Graphics	\$ 2,500						subsidize this with advertising or corporate sponsorships.
Editing	\$ 450						
Production	\$ 750						
Illustration	\$ 500						
Printing (100K /2 yrs	\$ 25,000			\$ -			
		\$	29,200		\$	_	
10 Bike loop tours (graphics)							There are several potential sources of funding for these
Graphics	\$ 12,000						tours. There may be economic development grants
Editing	\$ 2,250						available for individual tours. There is a great deal of
Production	\$ 4,000						potential for corporate sponsorships (as with the bike
Illustration	\$ 2,500						map). For some, it may also be possible to partially
Printing (20K each)	<u> </u>			\$ 25,000			fund through advertising, although it should be noted
~		\$	20,750		\$	25,000	that sponsorships and advertising typically do not mix.
Link and Loop Brochures							Once again, MDOT should explore funding
Graphics	\$ 2,500						opportunities such as grants from other government
Editing	\$ 1,000						agencies, economic development organizations and
Production	\$ 750						corporate sources.
Illustration	\$ 750						
Printing (60K)	<u>\$ -</u>			\$ 15,000			
		\$	5,000		\$	15,000	
Web-site enhancement						•	We anticipate that MDOT will be the primary funder for
Web designer	\$ 3,200						this project.
Content editor	\$ 2,600						
Hosting	\$ -						
· ·		\$	5,800		\$	6,500	
On-line bike guide		-					MDOT will likely be the sole funder for component
Content editor	\$ 4,500						of the project.
Production	\$ 500						
		\$	5,000		\$	2,000	

Source: Buxton Communications; WSA.

Wilbur Smith Associates

# **Proposed Two-year Budget for Bicycle Tourism Marketing**

Wilbur Smith Associates

Marketing Program	t year		Second Y	ear	Potential Funding Source	
Fulfillment package (30K)						The Office of Tourism says it will be prohibitively
Leads from state (4¢) each	\$ 1,200					expensive to incorporate a bike-specific response
Letters (30K)	\$ 1,700					into their current fufillment plan. We believe MDOT
Postcard (30K)	\$ 3,500					may be able to partner with an outside organization to
Envelopes (30K0	\$ 3,600					manage this, but the department will likely be the primary
		\$ 10,0	000	\$	10,000	funder for this part of the project.
Stock photography						Maine DOT and the Maine Office of Tourism could partner on this.
4 days of photograph	\$ 5,200					We have estimated this cost below market because of availability
Expenses	\$ 1,550					of stock photography.
•		\$ 6,7	50	\$	6,200	
Bike Friendly Business' Broch	ure					Funding possibilities include the DECD or Maine Tourism Assoc.
Inhouse design	\$ -					Opportunities exist for B&B/Innkeeper and Campground
Printing (5K)	\$ 1,500					Associations to participate in funding this project.
-		\$ 1,5	000	\$	1,500	
PR Campaign						Maine Office of Tourism can include biking
9-month retainer	\$ 22,500					in their ongoing PR / media relations campaign, but will
Expenses	\$10,000					likely need half or more of the cost of the
		\$ 32,5	000	\$	35,000	campaign contributed from other sources.
Thematic Tour Brochures						We have budgeted an MDOT contribution of "seed money"
	\$ 4,000					as technical assistance to help stimulate private and local
Materials	<u>\$ 500</u>					commitment to the project.
		\$ 4,5	000	\$	4,500	
Maine Today ad						All advertising will need to be funded through the Maine
Concept & graphics	\$ 2,000					Office of Tourism.
Ad space (1/2 p. 4c)	<u>\$ 7,600</u>					
		\$ 9,6		\$	9,500	
		\$ 130,6	000	\$ 1	115,200	

Table 16

# **Bibliography**

Burgess, Bruce. 1995. *Bicycle Touring in Vermont and Vermont's Scenic Byways Program*. Vermont Agency of Transportation.

Burgess, Bruce. 1995. Lake Champlain Bikeways: What It Can Do for Your Community and Business. Lake Champlain Bikeways.

Center for Research on Economic and Social Policy (University of Colorado at Denver). 2000. *Bicycling and Walking in Colorado: Economic Impact and Household Survey Results*. Colorado Department of Transportation.

Geist, Dennis and Konkel, John. 1996. Rail to Trail Development in Chippewa County (WI): Economic and Recreational Aspects.

"Haut Richelieu Survey", 1994, as reported in Burgess, Bruce. 1995. *Lake Champlain Bikeways: What It Can Do for Your Community and Business*. Lake Champlain Bikeways.

Joly, Patrick. 1998. La Route Verte: Economic Spin-offs, Technical Report #1. Velo Quebec.

Leholm, Tonya and Havlovic, Marty. 1996. A Profile of Red Cedar State Trail Annual Pass Holders. University of Wisconsin.

Longwoods International. 2000. *Travel and Tourism in Maine: 1999 Travel Year* (US and Canadian Visitor Reports). Maine Office of Tourism.

Maine Bureau of Parks and Recreation. 1995. 1994/1995 Bicycling Survey of Household Residents. Maine Department of Transportation.

Moore, Roger L. and Barthlow, Kelly. 1998. *The Economic Impacts and Uses of Long-Distance Trails: Featuring a Case Study of the Overmountain Victory National Historic Trail*. U.S. Department of the Interior.

Moore, Roger L. et al. 1992. *The Impacts of Rail-Trails: A Study of the Users and Property Owners from Three Trails.* National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior.

National Park Service. 2000. *Economic Impacts of Protecting Rivers, Trails and Greenway Corridors*. U.S. Department of the Interior.

PKF Consulting. *Analysis of the Economic Impacts of the Northern Central (MD) Rail Trail*. 1994. Maryland Greenways Commission.


Reiling, Stephen, Kotchen, Matthew, and Kezis, Alan. 1997. *An Economic Evaluation of Snowmobiling in Maine*. Maine Snowmobile Association.

Schwecke, Tim, et. al. 1988. *A Look at Visitors on Wisconsin's Elroy-Sparta Bike Trail*. University of Wisconsin.

WILBUR SMITH ASSOCIATES
BUXTON COMMUNICATIONS
BICYCLE FEDERATION OF AMERICA

Sparks, Donald. 1996. *The Economic Impact of Bicycle Tourism: Prospects for Coastal South Carolina*. Presented at Velo Australia.

University of Vermont (various departments), *The Impact of the Tourism Sector on the Vermont Economy: The Input-Output Model*, 1999.



# Usage and Economic Impact Figures for Other Facilities/Programs/States

				Us	ers		<b>Economic Impact</b>					
			Annual	Users/		Non-				Per Day		
Trail	Location	Miles	Users	Mile	Resident	Resident	Annual Total	Per Mile	Per User	Expenditure	Year	
Red Cedar Trail	Dunn Cnty, WI	14.5	40,000	2,759			\$2,000,000	\$137,931	\$50.00	\$49.28	1995	
Elroy-Sparta Trail	Monroe Cnty, WI	32	50,000	1,563			\$1,200,000	\$37,500	\$24.00	\$25.14	1988	
Heritage Trail	Dubuque,IA	26	135,000	5,192			\$1,200,000	\$46,154	\$8.89	\$9.21	1991	
Sugar River Trail	New Glarus, WI	23.5	47,566	2,024			\$430,000	\$18,298	\$9.04	\$9.04	1985	
St. Marks Trail	Tallahassee, FL	16	170,000	10,625			\$1,875,000	\$117,188	\$11.03	\$11.02	1991	

				Use	ers		Economic Impact					
			Annual	Users/		Non-				Per Day		
Trail Systems	Location	Miles	Users	Mile	Resident	Resident	<b>Annual Total</b>	Per Mile	Per User	Expenditure	Year	
La Route Verte	Quebec, Canada	2,165	2,734,375	1,263	178,715	2,555,660	\$11,148,800	\$5,150	\$4.08	Local: \$7 Day Trip: \$25 Overnight: \$60	1996	Projected for new facility/trail

	Users							Economic				
Statewide Bike Touring Studies	Location	Miles	Annual Users	Users/ Mile	Resident	Non- Resident	Annual Total: Direct	Per Mile	Per User	Per Day Expenditure	Year	
Vermont			32,000				\$13,100,000		\$409.38	\$115.00	1991	10,500 guided tours; 16,250 self- guided
Vermont			1% of all tourists				\$22,000,000				1997	
Colorado			3,400				\$640,000		\$188.24		1999	Bike tours only
South Carolina			30,000				\$37,760,000					30,000 users

				Us	ers		Economic Impact					
Bike Touring			Annual	Users/		Non-				Per Day		
Studies	Location	Miles	Users	Mile	Resident	Resident	<b>Annual Total</b>	Per Mile	Per User	Expenditure	Year	
NY North Country	Upstate NY									\$52.00	1993	
Haut Richelieu	Quebec Province									\$33-\$85	1994	

Source: Various Studies, see bibilography for references.